

**Katt, Zellma**

---

**From:** Greg Cooper  
**Sent:** Monday, March 14, 2005 10:05 AM  
**To:** Grunden, Zellma  
**Subject:** Fwd: Re: Heartland Turning Radius Patent

>>> "Scott Tuttle" <scott@mail.heartlandrvs.com> 3/2/2005 11:12:05 AM

>>> >>>

Greg,

I was wondering if you could help me out with some of the questions my senior partner (Braidn Brady) has regarding our patent application. The real part of this application that we are concerned about and feel we have a good shot at is the improved turning radius design where we cut back the frame and also remolded the cap design to take advantage of that void in the frame. I have included Brian's response to me with some questions he has. One is, he is looking for some sort of assurance that is this concept is even defensible? And if we were to have to go to court over it, how much would we be looking at to defend it?

Call me when you can.  
Thanks.

Scott Tuttle

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bbirish74@aol.com  
**Date:** Wed, 2 Mar 2005 09:35:46 EST

Scott:

The issue is whether or not the patent is defensible. We need some assurances from our attorney(s) that this will be the case. For example, does Cambridge infringe the patent as being applied for? Cost to defend patent?  
Need more info.

BB

---

[This E-mail scanned for viruses by Data Constructs Company]

---

[This E-mail scanned for viruses by Data Constructs Company]

B&T 069

**Katt, Zellma**

---

**From:** Greg Cooper  
**Sent:** Monday, March 14, 2005 10:05 AM  
**To:** Grunden, Zellma  
**Subject:** Fwd: Re: Heartland Turning Radius Patent

>>> "Scott Tuttle" <scott@mail.heartlandrvs.com> 3/2/2005 11:12:05 AM

>>> >>>

Greg,

I was wondering if you could help me out with some of the questions my senior partner (Braidn Brady) has regarding our patent application. The real part of this application that we are concerned about and feel we have a good shot at is the improved turning radius design where we cut back the frame and also remolded the cap design to take advantage of that void in the frame. I have included Brian's response to me with some questions he has. One is, he is looking for some sort of assurance that is this concept is even defensible? And if we were to have to go to court over it, how much would we be looking at to defend it?

Call me when you can.  
Thanks.

Scott Tuttle

----- Original Message -----

From: Bbirish74@aol.com  
Date: Wed, 2 Mar 2005 09:35:46 EST

Scott:

The issue is whether or not the patent is defensible. We need some assurances from our attorney(s) that this will be the case. For example, does Cambridge infringe the patent as being applied for? Cost to defend patent?  
Need more info.

BB

---

[This E-mail scanned for viruses by Data Constructs Company]

---

[This E-mail scanned for viruses by Data Constructs Company]

B&T 069