

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
SOUTH BEND DIVISION

CHARLES SCOTT,

Plaintiff,

v.

LaPORTE COUNTY JAIL, QUALITY
CORRECTIONAL CARE, LLC, and DR.
TCHETTCHAT,

Defendants.

CAUSE NO. 3:19-CV-798-JD-MGG

OPINION AND ORDER

Charles Scott is a prisoner at the LaPorte County Jail. Without a lawyer he filed an amended complaint against three defendants. “A document filed pro se is to be liberally construed, and a pro se complaint, however inartfully pleaded, must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers.” *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007) (quotation marks and citations omitted). Nevertheless, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the court must review the merits of a prisoner complaint and dismiss it if the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief.

Of the three defendants listed by Scott, the only one named in the body of the complaint is the LaPorte County Jail. However, the jail is a building. It is not a suable entity. *Smith v. Knox County Jail*, 666 F.3d 1037, 1040 (7th Cir. 2012). Therefore, he cannot state a claim against the Jail. The other two defendants are not named in the body of the complaint, therefore he has not stated a claim against them either.

