## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION

JASON R NUNN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAUSE NO. 3:23-CV-874-HAB-SLC

ST. JOSEPH CNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

## OPINION AND ORDER

Jason R Nunn, a prisoner without a lawyer, filed a complaint against Elizabeth C. Hurley, Amy Cressy, and St. Joseph County. ECF 1. "A document filed *pro se* is to be liberally construed, and a *pro se* complaint, however inartfully pleaded, must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007) (quotation marks and citations omitted). Nevertheless, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the court must review the merits of a prisoner complaint and dismiss it if the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief.

Nunn is suing the judge and prosecutor involved in his criminal case because he believes he was not properly credited with time served and spent additional time in jail. However, "in initiating a prosecution and in presenting the State's case, the prosecutor is immune from a civil suit for damages under § 1983." *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409, 431 (1976). Absolute immunity shields prosecutors even if they act maliciously, unreasonably, without probable cause, or even on the basis of false testimony or evidence. *Smith v. Power*, 346 F.3d 740, 742 (7th Cir. 2003). Similarly, "[a] judge has absolute immunity for any judicial actions unless the judge acted in absence of all jurisdiction." *Polzin v. Gage*, 636 F.3d 834, 838 (7th Cir. 2011). "A judge will not be deprived of immunity because the action he took was in error, was done maliciously, or was in excess of his authority; rather, he will be subject to liability only when he has acted in the clear absence of all jurisdiction." *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 359 (1978). Because the doctrines of prosecutorial and judicial immunity apply, Nunn cannot proceed against Judge Elizabeth C. Hurley or Deputy Prosecutor Amy Cressy.

Even if someone employed by the county had violated Nunn's constitutional rights, he could not proceed against the County of St. Joseph. There is no general respondeat superior liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *Burks v. Raemisch*, 555 F.3d 592, 594 (7th Cir. 2009).

"The usual standard in civil cases is to allow defective pleadings to be corrected, especially in early stages, at least where amendment would not be futile." *Abu-Shawish v. United States*, 898 F.3d 726, 738 (7th Cir. 2018). However, "courts have broad discretion to deny leave to amend where . . . the amendment would be futile." *Hukic v. Aurora Loan Servs.*, 588 F.3d 420, 432 (7th Cir. 2009). For the reasons previously explained, such is the case here.

For these reasons, this case is DISMISSED under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

SO ORDERED on November 2, 2023.

<u>s/ Holly A. Brady</u> CHIEF JUDGE HOLLY A. BRADY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT