UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION AT LAFAYETTE

DARRELL D. KINCAID,)
Plaintiff)) CAUSE NO. 4:09-CV-086 RM
V.	,)
UNKNOWN POLICE OFFICERS, and J&T RECOVERY,)
Jet Recovert,)
Defendants)

OPINION AND ORDER

Darrell D. Kincaid, a *pro se* plaintiff, filed a § 1983 complaint and an *in forma pauperis* petition. "A document filed *pro se* is to be liberally construed, and a *pro se* complaint, however inartfully pleaded, must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." <u>Erickson v. Pardus</u>, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007) (quotation marks and citations omitted). Nevertheless,

Notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that . . . the action . . . fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

Mr. Kincaid alleges that J&T Recovery impounded his vehicle after the police illegally searched and seized his truck on July 20, 2006. The statute of limitations bars that claim. Indiana's "two-year statute of limitations . . . is applicable to all causes of action brought in Indiana under 42U.S.C. § 1983." <u>Snodderly v. R.U.F.F. Drug Enforcement Task</u> <u>Force</u>, 239 F.3d 892, 894 (7thCir. 2001). This complaint wasn't filed until December 4, 2009,

nearly a year and a half after the deadline expired on July 20, 2008. Although the statute

of limitations is an affirmative defense, dismissal is appropriate where the complaint makes

clear that the claim is time barred. Cancer Foundation, Inc. v. Cerberus Capital

Management, LP, 559 F.3d 671, 674 (7th Cir. 2009).

For the forgoing reasons, the court dismisses this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: December 7, 2009

/s/ Robert L. Miller, Jr.

Chief Judge

United States District Court

2