

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

STELOR PRODUCTIONS, LLC)
)
 Plaintiff)
)
) Case Number: 1:05-CV-0354-DFH-TAB
 v.)
)
)
)
 OOGLES N GOOGLES FRANCHISING LLC)
 et. al.) Jury Demanded
)
 Defendants.)

**ISLAND GIRLS COMPANY, LLC'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S
THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Island Girls Company, LLC, ("Island Girls Company") by counsel, for its Answer to Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint states as follows:

FIRST DEFENSE

1. Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 1.
2. Paragraph 2 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 2.
3. Paragraph 3 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company denies said allegations.

4. Paragraph 4 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 4.

5. Paragraph 5 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 5.

6. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 6.

7. Paragraph 7 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 7.

8. Paragraph 8 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 8.

9. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 9.

10. Island Girls Company admits that Plaintiff makes claims for trademark infringement, unfair competition, and dilution, but denies liability for such claims of paragraph 10.

11. Island Girls Company admits this Court has subject matter jurisdiction but denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 11.

12. Island Girls Company admits venue is proper in this district, but denies any remaining allegations of paragraph 12.
13. Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 13.
14. Island Girls Company denies the allegations of paragraph 14.
15. Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 15.
16. Island Girls Company denies the allegations of paragraph 16.
17. Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 17.
18. Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 18.
19. Island Girls Company denies the allegations of paragraph 19.
20. Paragraph 20 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 20.
21. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 21.
22. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 22.
23. Island Girls Company denies the allegations of paragraph 23.
24. Paragraph 24 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 24.
25. Paragraph 25 makes no allegations against Island Girls Company. To the extent an

answer is required to this paragraph, Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 25.

26. Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 26.

27. Island Girls Company denies of paragraph 27.

28. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 28.

29. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 29.

30. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 30.

31. Island Girls Company is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of, and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 31.

32. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 32.

33. Island Girls Company denies paragraph 33.

34 - 43. Island Girls Company denies paragraphs 34 through 43.

44 – 49. Island Girls Company denies paragraphs 44 through 49.

50 – 54. Island Girls Company denies paragraphs 50 through 54.

55 – 57. Island Girls Company denies paragraphs 55 through 57.

58 – 69. Paragraphs 58 through 69 comprise a prayer for judgment by the Plaintiff. To the extent that Island Girls Company is required to admit or deny such a prayer for judgment, she denies paragraphs 58 through 69.

SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint fails to state claim upon which relief can be granted.

THIRD DEFENSE

The Oogles n Googles name and trademark do not infringe Plaintiff's alleged trademarks because there is no likelihood of confusion between the Oogles n Googles name and Plaintiff's alleged trademarks or between Oogles n Googles services and any goods or services allegedly offered for sale by Plaintiff.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Island Girls Company has made no representations whatsoever regarding Plaintiff's alleged goods and services, nor has she falsely represented any facts pertaining the origin, sponsorship, approval, quality, characteristics, or geographic origin of Oogles n Googles services.

FIFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff and prior alleged owners or licensees of the alleged trademarks have not used such marks in commerce as trademarks to identify the source or origin of its alleged goods or services.

SIXTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because Island Girls Company has done nothing to mislead, deceive or confuse consumers or to generate likelihood of confusion as to the source or origin of Oogles n Googles' services, or the source or origin of Plaintiff's alleged goods and services.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Subject to discovery, Plaintiff's claims are barred by doctrine of unclean hands.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Subject to discovery, Plaintiff's claims are barred by fair use.

NINTH DEFENSE

- Subject to discovery, Plaintiff's claims are barred by laches and/or statutes of limitations.

TENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's alleged trademarks are not famous except to the extent the Google, Inc., has made the word "Google" famous; Plaintiff has no right to make claims based upon the fame of Google, Inc.'s trademarks.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Oogles n Googles use of its name and trademark in commerce preceded any alleged fame of Plaintiff's alleged trademarks.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's alleged trademarks are not distinctive or famous and in any case no dilution has occurred to Plaintiff's alleged trademarks irrespective of their lack of distinctiveness or fame.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff has incurred no damages.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

Subject to discovery, Plaintiff's claims are barred because of waiver, acquiescence and/or estoppel.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff and/or other alleged predecessor owners of the alleged trademarks have abandoned the alleged trademarks.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

Subject to discovery, Plaintiff has failed to mitigate its damages.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff and/or the prior alleged owner(s) of the alleged trademarks committed fraud on the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff does not have a federal registration for the word "googles" as a trademark, nor does Plaintiff have any common law or other trademark rights to the word "googles".

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

Island Girls Company had no knowledge or notice of Plaintiff, Plaintiff's alleged goods and services, or Plaintiff's alleged trademarks prior to being notified of Plaintiff's lawsuit.

Wherefore, Island Girls Company prays for judgment in their favor, costs of this action including attorney fees, and all other just and proper relief.

JURY DEMAND

Island Girls Company demands trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted by:

/s/ Stephen L. Vaughan
Stephen L. Vaughan, #2294-49
INDIANO VAUGHAN LLP
One N. Pennsylvania Street, Suite 1300
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Telephone: (317) 822-0033
Fax: (317) 822-0055
E-mail: Steve@IPLawIndiana.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 28, 2008, a copy of the foregoing Island Girls Company's Answer to Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint was filed electronically on all counsel of record. Notice of this filing will be sent to all counsel of record by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

/s/ Stephen L. Vaughan
Stephen L. Vaughan, #2294-49
INDIANO VAUGHAN LLP
One N. Pennsylvania Street, Suite 1300
Indianapolis, IN 46204
E-mail: Steve@IPLawIndiana.com