DANIEL I WICKENG, DAMELA M

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

DANIEL J. WICKENS; PAMELA M.	)	
WICKENS; MARK SHERE; and,	)	
EMPLOYERS FIRE INSURANCE	)	
COMPANY,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
VS.	)	1:05-cv-645-SEB-TAB
	)	
SHELL OIL COMPANY and SHELL OIL	)	
PRODUCTS COMPANY, LLC,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STRIKE

Employers Fire Insurance Company ("Employers") has filed a motion seeking to strike a document which was filed, *pro se*, by Daniel and Pamela Wickens. The document at issue is titled "Wickens Pro-Se Statement of Position Rule 16.2" and is located on the electronic docket at Document #386.

Local Rule 16.2 requires litigants to file a position statement addressing how a case should proceed at the district court level after it has been remanded by the Seventh Circuit for further proceedings. Following just such a remand in this case, on October 15, 2010, the court entered an order requiring the parties to file their Local Rule 16.2 statements by November 4, 2010. On November 4, 2010, Daniel and Pamela Wickens filed their statement, but its content reads much more like a complaint for damages than it does a statement with respect to the procedural posture and future of a lawsuit that has

already seen more than five years of litigation and the entry of a judgment. All that

remains in this matter is a determination of how the attorney fees and litigation costs are

to be distributed between the Wickenses' former attorney and their insurance company.

In addition to the apparent intent to raise new claims in the statement, Employers has

objected to the Wickenses' filing on the basis that they no longer have an economic

interest in the case, having cashed a checked tendered to them by Employers on the basis

that it represented their sole remaining stake in any costs associated with the litigation and

this court's amended judgment.

We find that Employer's motion and objection to the Wickenses' filing is well

taken. As we noted when we previously struck a pro se filing by the Wickenses (see Doc.

# 353 Order on Motion to Strike), any further claim which the Wickenses believe they are

entitled to pursue against any of the parties involved here must be brought in a separate

lawsuit. Accordingly, Employers' Motion to Strike (Doc. #390) is GRANTED and the

Wickenses' Pro-Se Statement of Position Rule 16.2 (Doc. #386) is hereby stricken.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Date: 02/15/2011

SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE United States District Court

Southern District of Indiana

Said Everys Barker

## Copies to:

Harry Nicholas Arger DYKEMA GOSSETT ROOKS PITTS PLLC harger@dykema.com

Bryan Harold Babb BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS, LLP bbabb@boselaw.com

Miriam A. Rich GONZALEZ SAGGIO & HARLAN LLP richm@gshllp.com

Mark Eliot Shere ms@sherelaw.com

William G. Stone STONE & JOHNSON, CHTD. wstone@stonejohnsonlaw.com

Rosa Maria Tumialan DYKEMA GOSSETT ROOKS PITTS PLLC rtumialan@dykema.com

Jeffery Alan Whitney GONZALEZ SAGGIO & HARLAN LLP jessica\_davis@gshllp.com

DANIEL J. Wickens 910 Isabelle Drive Anderson, IN 46013

PAMELA Wickens 910 Isabelle Drive Anderson, IN 46013