

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION**

IN RE: READY-MIXED CONCRETE ANTITRUST LITIGATION,	)	Master Docket No.
	)	1:05-cv-00979-SEB-TAB
	)	
	)	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	)	
ALL ACTIONS	)	
	)	

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES,  
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES AND AWARD OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVES'  
INCENTIVE FEE FROM BUILDER'S SETTLEMENT**

Plaintiffs, Kort Builders, Inc., Dan Grote, Cherokee Development, Inc., Winger/  
Stolberg Group, Inc., Marmax Construction, LLC, Boyle Construction Management, Inc., and  
T&R Contractor, Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs"), by Settlement Class Counsel ("Class Counsel"),  
have filed their Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses and Award  
of Class Representatives' Incentive Fee from Builder's Settlement ("Fee Motion") and  
supporting materials. Having reviewed the Fee Motion, the Declaration of Irwin B. Levin  
("Levin Dec.") and materials submitted therewith, and the Memorandum in support of the Fee  
Motion, the Court now finds and orders as follows:

1. On April 8, 2010, the Court entered its Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement,  
Certifying Settlement Class, and Directing Notice (Doc. No. 827) granting preliminary approval  
to the Settlement Agreement With Defendants Builder's Concrete & Supply, Inc., Gus B.  
("Butch") Nuckols, III, and John J. Blatzheim ("Builder's Settlement"). (Doc. No. 825-1).  
Under the terms of the Builder's Settlement, the Builder's Defendants are to pay the sum of

\$5,515,000 for the benefit of the Settlement Class in six installments. Builder's Settlement, ¶¶ 22, 25; Levin Dec. ¶ 7.

2. The Builder's Settlement provides for the settlement amount to be paid into a "Settlement Fund" subject to the jurisdiction of the Court and to be administered for the benefit of the Settlement Class by Class Counsel. Builder's Settlement, ¶¶ 22, 28. The Builder's Settlement further provide that Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall have the right to seek, and the Builder's Defendants shall not oppose, Court approval of payments from the Settlement Fund for distribution to Settlement Class members or to reimburse Class Counsel for reasonable expenditures made or to be made by Class Counsel in the prosecution of the Action against the Other Defendants. Builder's Settlement, ¶ 28. The intention of Class Counsel to seek the payment of such expenses from the Builder's Settlement Fund was brought to the attention of Settlement Class members. Builder's Settlement, Exhibit A (mailed Notice), ¶ 7.

3. With regard to the payment of attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and incentive fees out of the Builder's Settlement Amount, the Builder's Settlement contains the following provision:

Class Counsel shall be reimbursed and paid solely out of the Settlement Fund for all fees and expenses including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and expenses. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall seek, and the Builder's Defendants shall not oppose, the Court's approval of the payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of 33 1/3 % of the Settlement Amount, and reimbursement of reasonable expenses, to be paid from the Settlement Fund.

Builder's Settlement, ¶ 29.

4. The notice also specifically advised Settlement Class Members that Class Counsel will seek the payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of one-third of the Settlement, as well as the reimbursement of expenses, and that the Builder's Defendants will not oppose this request:

Class Counsel will file a petition with the Court no later than seven days prior to the Fairness Hearing asking for payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of 33 1/3 % of the Settlement Amount, and the reimbursement of reasonable expenses, to be paid from the Settlement Fund, which petition will be available on the settlement website. The Court may consider whether to approve the payment of attorneys' fees and expenses in this amount during the Fairness Hearing, or at a later time determined by the Court.

The Builder's Defendants have agreed not to oppose a request by Class Counsel for a payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of 33 1/3 % of the Settlement Amount, and the reimbursement of reasonable expenses, to be paid from the Settlement Fund. If the Court approves these fees and expenses, they will be paid from the Settlement Fund.

Builder's Settlement, Exhibit A (mailed Notice), ¶ 17. Further, the Fee Motion, the supporting Memorandum and the Levin Declaration were posted on the settlement website. Levin Dec., ¶ 9.

5. Class Counsel request an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of 33 1/3 % of the Builder's Settlement Amount, or \$1,838,150, which is not opposed by the Builder's Defendants. Levin Dec., ¶ 10. Class Counsel also request a reimbursement of expenses from the Builder's Settlement Fund in the amount of \$7,535.85. *Id.*, ¶ 10. Finally, Class Counsel request the award of an incentive fee in the amount of \$2,500 for each named Plaintiff. Levin Dec., ¶ 15.

6. On March 31, 2009, the Court entered its Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Approval of Proposed Plan of Distribution of Settlement Funds, Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses, and Award of Class Representatives' Incentive Fees (Doc. No. 732) ("First Distribution Order"). The Distribution Order applied to the combined Settlement Funds from the American, Shelby and Prairie Settlements. On March 30, 2010, the Court entered its Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Award of Class Representatives' Incentive Fee from IMI Settlement (Doc. No. 823) ("IMI Distribution Order"), which adopted the same legal and factual analysis set forth in

the First Distribution Order. On May 17, 2010, the Court entered its Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Award of Class Representatives' Incentive Fee from Beaver and Hughey Settlements (Doc. No. 849) ("Beaver/Hughey Distribution Order"), which again adopted the same legal and factual analysis set forth in the First Distribution Order and the IMI Distribution Order. Much, if not all, of the Court's analysis of fees and expenses in the First Distribution Order, IMI Distribution Order, and Beaver/Hughey Distribution Order also applies to the Plaintiffs' present request for fees and expenses from the Builder's Settlement Fund.

7. The Court therefore adopts and incorporates herein the factual and legal analyses, findings of fact, and conclusions of law set forth in the First Distribution Order, the IMI Distribution Order, and the Beaver/Hughey Distribution Order. The Court further finds: (i) with the Builder's Settlement, Class Counsel have created an additional Settlement Fund in the amount of \$5,515,000, and are entitled to compensation and reimbursement of expenses under the common fund doctrine for their efforts in creating the Builder's Settlement Fund for the benefit of class members; (ii) the "percentage of the fund" approach to determining reasonable attorneys' fees is favored by the Seventh Circuit is also the most accurate reflection in this case of the market price for legal services, in light of the risk of nonpayment and the normal rate of compensation in the market at the time; and (iii) Class Counsel's proposed attorney fee is supported by the risk of nonpayment in this case, Class Counsel's actual agreements with Plaintiffs to provide services, Class Counsel's opinion concerning the market rate for attorneys' fees in litigation of this type, data concerning awards of attorneys' fees in similar cases, the quality of Class Counsel's performance, the amount of work necessary to resolve the litigation, and the stakes of the case.

8. The Court therefore finds, based upon the foregoing, that the “market price for legal services, in light of the risk of nonpayment and the normal rate of compensation in the market at the time” is a contingent fee in the amount of one-third (1/3) of the common fund recovered. *Sutton v. Bernard*, 504 F.3d 688, 692 (7th Cir. 2007). Class Counsel’s request for a fee award in the amount of \$1,838,150 to be paid from the Builder’s Settlement Fund is therefore approved.

9. Class Counsel have also requested that the Court approve an award of \$7,535.85 from the Builder’s Settlement Fund to reimburse Class Counsel for litigation expenses incurred or identified from May 8, 2010 through August 10, 2010. Levin Dec., ¶ 12. Class Counsel reports that their request for reimbursement is based upon categories of expenses that are customarily charged to clients in the market for legal services, and are included in rates and amounts that are customary in the market. Levin Dec., ¶ 14 and Ex. “A.” Moreover, these are expenses that are normally recovered from a settlement fund net of attorneys’ fees, which is the arrangement set forth in Class Counsel’s agreements with the Plaintiffs. Levin Dec., ¶ 14. Reimbursement of expenses from the Builder’s Settlement Fund is also contemplated by the Settlement. Builder’s Settlement, ¶ 29.

10. The Court finds that Class Counsel’s request for reimbursement of expenses from the Builder’s Settlement Fund is based upon an itemization and amounts that are consistent with market rates and practices and are further supported by the facts and circumstances of this case as set forth above. Class Counsel’s request for the reimbursement of expenses from the Builder’s Settlement Fund in the amount of \$7,535.85 is therefore approved

11. Finally, Class Counsel have requested that the seven named Plaintiffs be awarded an additional \$2,500 each as a class representative incentive fee from the Builder’s Settlement

Fund. Levin Dec., ¶ 15. The Court hereby adopts the factual and legal analysis, findings and conclusions in its previous Orders that address the issue of class representative incentive fees. First Distribution Order, ¶¶ 37-41; IMI Distribution Order, ¶¶ 31-36; Beaver/Hughey Distribution Order, ¶ 11. The Court finds that an award for each of the Plaintiffs is appropriate to provide an incentive for their participation, that the requested awards are highly reasonable in light of other awards approved in this Circuit, and that the requested awards are supported by “the actions the plaintiff has taken to protect the interest of the class, the degree to which the class has benefitted from those actions, and the amount of time and effort the plaintiff expended in pursuing the litigation.” *Cook v. Niedart*, 142 F.3d 1004, 1016 (7th Cir. 1998) (citations omitted) (affirming \$25,000 incentive award to plaintiff). Class Counsel’s request for a class representative incentive award to each named Plaintiff in the amount of \$2,500 is therefore approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by this Court that:

1. The Motion for Award of Attorneys’ Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Award of Class Representatives’ Incentive Fee From Builder’s Settlements is hereby granted;
2. Class Counsel’s request for an award of attorneys’ fees in the amount of \$1,838,150 is hereby granted and the Claims Administrator is authorized and directed to pay this sum to Class Counsel from the Builder’s Settlement Fund following the Effective Date of the Settlement as defined therein; to the extent the Settlement Amount is paid in installments, the award of attorneys’ fees to Class Counsel shall be paid in installments in amounts proportionate to the Settlement Amount installments paid into and available from the Settlement Fund;
3. Class Counsel’s request for the reimbursement of litigation expenses in the amount of \$7,535.85 is hereby granted and the Claims Administrator is authorized and directed


to pay this sum to Class Counsel from the Builder's Settlement Fund following the Effective Date of the Settlement as defined therein;

4. Class Counsel's request for an award of incentive fees in the amount of \$2,500 each to the named Plaintiffs is hereby granted, and the Claims Administrator is authorized and directed to issue a check in this amount from the Builder's Settlement Fund to each named Plaintiff and deliver the same to Class Counsel for distribution following the Effective Date of the Settlement as defined therein; and

5. The Court retains jurisdiction over the parties, the Settlement Class, the Builder's Settlement Fund and the Claims Administrator for purposes of effectuating the terms of the Builder's Settlement and the terms of this Order.

SO ORDERED.

Date: 08/17/2010

  
SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE  
United States District Court  
Southern District of Indiana