

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION**

ONE NUMBER CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-0312-RLY-TAB
vs.)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
GOOGLE, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**ORDER ON DEFENDANT’S GOOGLE, INC.’S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS
PENDING *INTER PARTES* REEXAMINATION OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

This case, having come before the Court upon the Motion of Defendant Google, Inc. to stay the proceedings in this case pending *inter partes* reexamination of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,680,256 and 7,440,565, and this Court having considered the motion and all other relevant factors, finds that Google’s motion is should be GRANTED.

IT IS ORDERED that this action is STAYED until after the conclusion of the *inter partes* reexamination of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,680,256 and 7,440,565.

SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2010.

JUDGE, United States District Court
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution to:

Alastair J. Warr (Counsel for Plaintiff)
Dean E. McConnell (Counsel for Plaintiff)
Scott S. Morrisson (Counsel for Plaintiff)
Birk K. Billingsley (Counsel for Plaintiff)
Todd G. Vare (Counsel for Defendant)
Jeff M. Barron (Counsel for Defendant)
Jennifer L. Schuster (Counsel for Defendant)