A.M.T. et al v. MURPHY et al Doc. 100

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

A.M.T., et al.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
vs.	)	1:10-cv-0358-JMS-TAB
	)	
MICHAEL A. GARGANO, in his official capaci-	)	
ty as Secretary of the Indiana Family and So-	)	
cial Services Administration, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

## PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT

The Court enters **FINAL JUDGMENT** in favor of Plaintiffs and the class and against Defendants to the extent that Plaintiffs sought relief in their Amended Complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(10).

The Court **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' request for a permanent injunction. Defendants, their agents, and successors are hereby enjoined from denying or limiting coverage for prescribed physical therapy, occupational therapy, respiratory therapy, and/or speech pathology, for any person enrolled in the Medicaid program under the age of twenty-one where such denial or limitation is based upon 405 I.A.C. 5-22-6(b)(6) and/or (b)(7). Nothing in this injunction should be read to prevent Defendants, their agents, or their successors from denying or limiting prescribed benefits under any other provision of law.

02/10/2011

Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Magnust -

## **Distribution via ECF only:**

Kenneth J. Falk ACLU OF INDIANA kfalk@aclu-in.org

Betsy M. Isenberg INDIANA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Betsy.Isenberg@atg.in.gov

Gavin Minor Rose ACLU OF INDIANA grose@aclu-in.org

Erica Sue Sullivan INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL erica.sullivan@atg.in.gov