BELL v. TAYLOR et al Doc. 56

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

RICHARD N. BELL,	<i>)</i>
Plaintiff,))
VS.))
CAMERON TAYLOR, TAYLOR COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INSURANCE CONCEPTS, FRED O'BRIEN, FORECLOSURE WAREHOUSE.COM, INC., INDY CLEANING PROS, JAMES ALLEN, KAREN ALLEN, SHANNA CHEATAM, AILS, MAXSCLEAN LLC, HOMEROUTE, INFORED MEDIA, LLC, REDOUANE CHIOUA, AMERICAN AUTO TRANSPORT, NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, LLC, ABONET, CITIES ONLINE, SHELLY RUPEL, BEN MCCANN, NEIL COX, MARK ARRUDA,)))))))) No. 1:11-cv-00766-TWP-DKL)))))))))))))))))))
Defendants.)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Upon independent review of the docket, it has come to the Court's attention that Plaintiff's Complaint fails to comply with the statutory requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 411(a) ("[N]o civil action for infringement of the copyright in any United States work shall be instituted until preregistration or registration of the copyright claim has been made in accordance with this

title."). Mr. Bell asserts his copyright interest in the Indianapolis photograph, but has not alleged

that he has registered or preregistered a copyright in the photograph. See Reed Elsevier, Inc. v.

Muchnick, 130 S. Ct. 1237, 1247 (2010) (holding that Section 411(a) is a nonjurisdictional

precondition to filing a copyright action); Hard Drive Prods., Inc. v. Does 1–55, No. 11 C 2798,

2011 WL 4889094, at *3 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 12, 2011) (noting that registration of a copyright is an

element of an infringement claim). Although the Seventh Circuit has not expressly ruled on this

issue, this Circuit appears to follow the application approach. In Chicago Board of Education,

354 F.3d at 631 (7th Cir. December 31, 2003) the court noted that "[a]lthough a copyright no

longer need be registered with the Copyright Office to be valid, an application for registration

must be filed before the copyright can be sued upon." (emphasis added); cf. Furkin v. Smikun,

No. 07–1067, 2007 WL 1493866, at *3 (7th Cir. May 16, 2007) (noting, in discussing the statute

of limitations with respect to copyright infringement claim, that even if appellant's complaint

was liberally construed as timely, "it would not save [plaintiff's] lawsuit" because he "has not

attempted to register a copyright, a prerequisite for a suit for copyright infringement.").

Pursuant to the procedure set forth in Ricketts v. Midwest Nat'l Bank, 874 F.2d 1177 (7th

Cir. 1989), Mr. Bell is ordered to show cause why his Complaint shall not be dismissed *sua*

sponte for failure to state a claim under the Copyright Act. Mr. Bell has 14 days from the date of

this Order to comply.

Date: 11/19/2012

Hon. Tanya Walton Pratt, Judge

United States District Court

Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

BEN MCCANN 705 N. Shore Boulevard Franklin, IN 46131

Richard N. Bell BELL LAW FIRM richbell@comcast.net

David F. Hurley HURLEY & HURLEY PC dhurley@hurley-legal.net

John W. Nelson LAW OFFICE OF JOHN NELSON jwnelso1@yahoo.com