COLEMAN v. CURRY et al Doc. 76

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

)
)
) Case No. 1:11-cv-01256-TWP-DKL
) )

## **ENTRY DISCUSSING MOTION TO STRIKE**

This matter is before the Court on Defendants' Paul R. Ciesielski, Terry Curry, Rueben B. Hill, Denny Randall Jason, and Rebecca Meyer (collectively, "Defendants") Motion to Strike (Dkt. 73). Although, "[t]he court disfavors collateral motions—such as motions to strike—in the summary judgment process," Local Rule 56-1(i), Defendants' motion to strike has been considered.

The Defendants seek to strike a document containing transcribed portions of Officer Randall Denny's deposition which was taken incident to prior criminal proceedings against the Plaintiff Wayde Coleman ("Mr. Coleman"). Defendants argue that the transcript should be stricken because it was prepared by the Plaintiff, "Wayde Coleman Pro Se Litigant/A Notary Public" and thus is not competent evidence. See Dkt. No. 70-1 at p. 1. In response, Mr. Coleman has provided the transcript, but this time it has been transcribed by "Reliant." No reply has been filed by the Defendants. Under these circumstances the Defendants' Motion to Strike (Dkt. 73) is **DENIED.** 

## SO ORDERED.

Date:	08/19/2013
-------	------------

Hon. Tanya Walton Pratt, Judge United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

## DISTRIBUTION:

Wayde Coleman 11303 Lynchburg Way Indianapolis, Indiana 46229

Alexander Phillip Will City of Indianapolis, Corporation Counsel awill@indygov.org

Beth Ann Garrison City of Indianapolis, Corporation Counsel bdale@indygov.org

Cameron G. Starnes City of Indianapolis, Corporation Counsel Cameron.starnes@indygov.org