# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA 

JAMES A. ROBERTS, SR., )
Plaintiff, )
vs. )
1:11-cv-1438-JMS-DML

CENDANT MORTGAGE CORP., et al., )

Defendants. )

## Entry Directing Further Proceedings

Subject matter jurisdiction relates to the court's power to adjudicate a case. Morrison v. Nat'l Austl. Bank Ltd., 130 S. Ct. 2869, 2877 (2010); Reed Elsevier, Inc. v. Muchnick, 130 S. Ct. 1237, 1243, (2010). The threshold question in every case is whether the court has the power to entertain the suit. Warth v. Seldin, 422 U.S. 490, 498 (1975).

Federal district courts are courts of limited jurisdiction; At]hey possess only that power authorized by Constitution and statute.@Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Servs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 552, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L.Ed.2d 502 (7th Cir. 2005) (quoting Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America, 511 U.S. 375, 377, 114 S. Ct. 1673, 128 L.Ed.2d 391 (1994)). Congress has conferred subject matter jurisdiction on the district courts only in cases that raise a federal question and cases in which there is diversity of citizenship among the parties. See 28 U.S.C.
' ' 1331-32.
Smart v. Local 702 Intern. Broth. of Elec. Workers, 562 F.3d 798, 802 (7th Cir. 2009).

The plaintiff shall have through March 21, 2012, in which to identify a plausible basis for invoking the court's limited jurisdiction over his claim of wrongful foreclosure.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: $\underline{\underline{03 / 02 / 2012}}$


Distribution:

JAMES A. ROBERTS, SR.
6448 Horizon Court
Indianapolis, IN 46260

