SUTTON v. ASTRUE Doc. 30

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

MONICA MARY SUTTON,)	
Plaintiff,)))	1:12-cv-742- SEB-DKI
VS.)	
)	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of the)	
Social Security Administration,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

In his Response to Show Cause (Docket No. 28), Attorney Wilson indicates that he has had no communication with Plaintiff since sometime in late 2012, yet he still asks that the Court proceed to rule on the merits of this cause, notwithstanding the fact that Plaintiff, acting *pro se*, asked us to dismiss it. Attorney Wilson submits that it would be a shame, after all the time and energy that the lawyers spent briefing the case, for the Court not to rule, particularly because, in his opinion, Plaintiff has a "legitimate claim." He speculates that the reason Plaintiff asked the Court to dismiss this case is because she received some bad legal advice from another lawyer.

Without addressing the ethical issues presented by the apparent disagreement between Mr. Wilson and Plaintiff, we find that Plaintiff has very clearly expressed her desire that the case be dismissed (Docket No. 23) and Mr. Wilson has not presented any evidence or legal argument to persuade us that we should ignore the wishes of his client. Accordingly, this case is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 03/29/2013

SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Copies to:

Thomas E. Kieper UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE tom.kieper@usdoj.gov

Joe Morgan Wilson ATTORNEY AT LAW wilsonjoemorgan@bellsouth.net

Monica Mary Sutton 5712 Diana Drive Indianapolis, IN 46276