## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

)

ROGER THOMPSON,	
	Plaintiff,
VS.	
DR. CONANT, et al.,	
	Defendants.

1:12-cv-1177-SEB-TAB

## ENTRY

"A motion to reconsider asks that a decision be reexamined in light of additional legal arguments, a change of law, or an argument that was overlooked earlier . . . "*Patel v. Gonzales* 442 F.3d 1011, 1015-1016 (7th Cir. 2006). The plaintiff's motion to reconsider the recent denial of his motion for appointment of counsel meets none of these standards.

Additionally, although the plaintiff has now demonstrated that he has made a reasonable effort to secure representation on his own, his filings thus far show that, given the difficulty of the case, he is competent to litigate it himself. *See Pruitt v. Mote,* 503 F.3d 647, 653, 655 (7th Cir. 2007)(the question is not whether an attorney would help the plaintiff's case, but whether, given the difficulty of the case, the plaintiff seems competent to litigate it themselves)(en banc).

Based on the foregoing, therefore, the motion to reconsider [13] is denied.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: \_\_10/04/2012

Distribution:

Saul Carris BA

SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Roger Thompson DOC #926378 Plainfield Correctional Facility Inmate Mail/Parcels 727 Moon Road Plainfield, IN 46168