

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA**

DAVID PANNELL,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	1:12-cv-01301-TWP-DML
	)	
SUPERINTENDENT, Indiana State	)	
Prison,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**ENTRY**

The petitioner’s motion for the appointment of counsel has been considered.

The Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not apply in *habeas corpus* actions. *Coleman v. Thompson*, 501 U.S. 722, 755 (1991). However, a district court does have the authority to appoint counsel to represent a habeas petitioner whenever it "determines that the interests of justice so require. . . ." 18 U.S.C. 3006A(a)(2)(B).

Whether to appoint counsel is committed to the discretion of the trial court. *United States v. Evans*, 51 F.3d 287 (10th Cir. 1995). Factors which the court may consider include: (1) whether the merits of the indigent's claim are colorable; (2) ability of the indigent to investigate crucial facts; (3) whether the nature of the evidence indicates that the truth will more likely be exposed where both sides are represented by counsel; (4) capability of the indigent to present his case; and (5) complexity of the legal issues raised by the complaint. *Wilson v. Duckworth*, 716 F.2d 415, 418 (7th Cir. 1983).


Application of the foregoing factors in this case indicates that the petitioner’s claims are not particularly complex, that there is no likelihood that an evidentiary hearing will be necessary, that no discovery or other investigation will be required, that due allowance to the petitioner’s *pro se* status will be made and that the petitioner has at least thus far demonstrated adequate ability to express and present his claims. In addition, the petitioner has the means (writing materials,

etc.) to continue to present his claims in this action; the petitioner is literate and seems fully aware of the proceedings involving his conviction and sentence in the Indiana state courts.

These are not circumstances in which it is in the interest of justice to appoint counsel for the petitioner, and for this reason his motion for appointment of counsel [Dkt. 8] is **denied**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: 11/09/2012

  
Hon. Tanya Walton Pratt, Judge  
United States District Court  
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

David Pannell  
DOC #963265  
Indiana State Prison  
Inmate Mail/Parcels  
One Park Row  
Michigan City, IN 46360