

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA**

Entry Directing Further Proceedings

I.

The clerk shall include a copy of the complaint with the plaintiff's copy of this Entry.

II.

A.

A district court possesses only the jurisdiction conferred to it by Congress. See *South Carolina v. Katzenbach*, 383 U.S. 301 (1966).

Congress has conferred subject matter jurisdiction on the district courts only in cases that raise a federal question and cases in which there is diversity of citizenship among the parties. See 28 U.S.C. 1331-32.

Smart v. Local 702 Intern. Broth. of Elec. Workers, 562 F.3d 798, 802 (7th Cir. 2009).

Additionally, “[a] complaint must always . . . allege enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.= *Limestone Development Corp. v. Village of Lemont, Ill.*, 520 F.3d 797, 803 (7th Cir. 2008) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)). A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009).

B.

The plaintiff shall have **through January 4, 2013**, in which to supplement his complaint by (1) setting forth the basis of this court's jurisdiction over his claim(s), and (2) setting forth his claim(s) with facial plausibility as defined above as to each defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

12/19/2012

Date: _____

Tanya Walton Pratt

Hon. Tanya Walton Pratt, Judge
United States District Court
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Eddie Harold Billings, Jr.
520 E. Market St.
Indianapolis, IN 46201

Note to Clerk: Processing this document requires actions in addition to docketing and distribution.