UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

STEVEN A. RAMOS AND IMAGINE & DISCOV-)
ERY, INC.,)
Plaintiffs, vs.)
)
)
)
LUMIERE INDUSTRIES, LLC AND AGFA COR-)
PORATION,)
Defendants.)

1:14-cv-2073-JMS-DKL

<u>ORDER</u>

Plaintiffs filed their Complaint against Defendants alleging that this Court has diversity jurisdiction over their action. [Filing No. 1 at 1-2.] Plaintiffs properly set forth their citizenships, the citizenship of Defendant AFGA Corporation, and the amount in controversy, but allege that Defendant Lumiere Industries, LLC ("Lumiere") "is a Colorado limited liability company with its principal place of business in Littleton, Colorado." [Filing No. 1 at 1.]

Plaintiffs' allegations regarding Lumiere are insufficient to establish its citizenship because the "citizenship of an LLC depends on [the] citizenship of its members, traced through as many levels as necessary to reach corporations or natural persons." <u>BouMatic, LLC v. Idento Operations,</u> <u>BV, 759 F.3d 790, 791 (7th Cir. 2014)</u> (citing <u>Cosgrove v. Bartolotta, 150 F.3d 729 (7th Cir.</u> <u>1998)</u>). The Court is not being hyper-technical: Counsel has a professional obligation to analyze subject-matter jurisdiction, <u>Heinen v. Northrop Grumman Corp., 671 F.3d 669 (7th Cir. 2012)</u>, and a federal court always has a responsibility to ensure that it has jurisdiction, <u>Hukic v. Aurora</u> Loan Servs., 588 F.3d 420, 427 (7th Cir. 2009). For these reasons, Plaintiffs are **ORDERED** to file an Amended Complaint by **January 7**, **2015**, specifically setting forth jurisdictional allegations to establish the citizenship Lumiere and the other parties.

December 22, 2014

ognus - Strason 1an

Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Distribution via ECF only to all counsel of record