# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA <br> INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION 

CHRISTOPHER J. DIRIG,
$\qquad$
v.

WEXFORD OF INDIANA, NURSE KATHRYN HILL, NURSE REBECCA TRIVETT,

Defendants.

## ENTRY DENYING POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS

## I.

This action was dismissed on summary judgment in favor of the defendants on February 26, 2019. Dkt. 170; dkt. 171. The plaintiff's motion to correct erroneous sentence, filed on April 24, 2019, dkt. [186], treated as a Rule 60(b) motion, is denied because he has not shown that the decision in this case was erroneous. "Rule 60(b) authorizes a court to relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for various reasons including mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, or any other reason that justifies relief, but only on motion of a party." Shuffle Tech Intern., LLC v. Wolff Gaming, Inc., 757 F.3d 708, 709 (7th Cir. 2014) (internal quotations omitted). Rule $60(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ is "available only in extraordinary circumstances." Pearson v. Target Corporation, 893 F3d 980, 984 (7th Cir. 2018) (internal quotation omitted). No such extraordinary circumstances are presented in the plaintiff's postjudgment motion.

## II.

The plaintiff's renewed motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, dkt. [187], is denied for the same reasons his previous request was denied in the Entry of April 10, 2019, dkt. 184.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 4/30/2019
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