TAYLOR v. HUDSON et al Doc. 60

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

JOHN H. TAYLOR,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
	v.)	No. 1:23-cv-00974-TWP-MG
JAY HUDSON, ED ROACH,)	
	Defendants.)	

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT WITHOUT PREJUDICEAND RESETTING DEADLINES

Pro se Plaintiff John H. Taylor ("Taylor") initiated this action on June 2, 2023, alleging that while he was incarcerated at the Parke County Jail, Defendant Jay Hudson ("Hudson") subjected him to excessive force and Defendant Ed Roach ("Roach") failed to intervene on his behalf. (Dkt. 1). Since he filed this action, Taylor has been held in various jails, his address has changed several times and periodically mailings to Taylor have been returned as undeliverable. (See Dkts. 10, 14, 19, 23, 24, 50, 48, 53, and 56). On July 2, 2024, Defendants filed a Motion to extend the summary judgment deadline which the Court granted, noting the motion for extension of time was unopposed. (Dkts. 39, 47). Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, Notice of Manual Filing (a video) and Notice to Plaintiff Regarding Right to Respond (Dkts. 42, 44, 45), were filed on July 12, 2024, however, the copies mailed to Taylor were returned as undeliverable. The Court recently notified the Defendants that the video evidence they filed on July 12, 2024 was filed in a format that does not comply with the Local Rules. (Dkt. 58). The defendants have filed notice of their intent to refile the video in the proper format. (Dkt. 59).

On December 12, 2024, Taylor provided notice of a change of address (Dkt. 57) and

requested copies of the docket sheets. Those copies were mailed by the Clerk, and the copies have

not been returned as undeliverable.

In the interest of justice, and for the orderly progression of this case, the Court believes the

deadlines in this case should be restarted. Accordingly, the Defendants' Motion for Summary

Judgment, Dkt. [42], is denied without prejudice. The defendants will have through February

14, 2025, to refile their Motion for Summary Judgment. All briefs, designated evidence (including

the properly formatted video), and notice of right to respond must be served on Taylor at his current

address. Thereafter, Taylor will have 28 days to file and serve a copy of his response, if any, to the

motion for summary judgment. Defendants may file a reply brief within 14 days after a response

is served. The parties should anticipate no extensions of these deadlines.

In addition, Taylor's failure to promptly notify the Court of any future change of address

will not be tolerated and may result in dismissal of this case for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 1/28/2025

Hon. Tanya Walton Pratt, Chief Judge

United States District Court

Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

JOHN H. TAYLOR

8015 S State Road 63

Terre Haute, IN 47802

Daniel Mark Witte

TRAVELERS STAFF COUNSEL INDIANA

dwitte@travelers.com

2