

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA**

SUSAN GRUND,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	2:08-cv-0006-WTL-TAB
	)	
COMMISSIONER BUSS, in his official capacity,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**Entry Discussing Motion for Compensation**

The plaintiff's motion for compensation (dkt 150), as now supplemented, is **denied**. The reasons for this ruling are: First, she seeks compensation from CMS, an entity which is not now and has not been a defendant in this case. This entity was mentioned in a proposed amendment, but this did not result in a claim being asserted against it. Second, because even if CMS is or had been a defendant, the plaintiff seeks compensation based on a theory of *respondeat superior* because of its employment of Dr. Jeffrey Smith. Such a theory is not viable in an action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *Rodriguez v. Plymouth Ambulance Serv.*, 577 F.3d 816 (7th Cir. 2009).

Because the plaintiff's motion for compensation fails to establish her right to the recovery she seeks, the motion (dkt 150) must be **denied**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**



Hon. William T. Lawrence, Judge  
United States District Court  
Southern District of Indiana

Date: 07/15/2010

Distribution:

Donald G. Banta  
INDIANA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
donald.banta@atg.in.gov

Lynne Denise Hammer  
OFFICE OF THE INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL  
Lynne.Hammer@atg.in.gov

Susan Grund  
DOC #941457  
Rockville Correctional Facility  
811 W 50 N  
Rockville, IN 47872