UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

DARNELL WESLEY MOON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	2:11-cv-178-JMS-WGH
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, et al.,)	

ENTRY

The plaintiff's request that this action proceed as a class action [8] is **denied.** This ruling is made because: (1) the plaintiff is a member of the class he seeks to represent, making him a poor candidate as a representative who would also have to perform the role of counsel, *Loden v. Edgar*, 1994 WL 97726, *1 (N.D.III. Mar. 22, 1994); see also Wagner v. Taylor, 836 F.2d 578, 595-96 & n.126 (D.C.Cir. 1987); and 2) the plaintiff is a pro se litigant, also making him an inadequate class representative. See Lasley v. Godinez, 833 F. Supp. 714, 715 n.1 (N.D.III. 1993) (pro se prisoners could not adequately represent class of inmates); Turner-El v. Illinois Bd. of Education, 1994 WL 27874, at *1 (N.D.III. Jan. 31, 1994) ("Because a layman does not ordinarily possess the legal training and expertise necessary to protect the interests of a proposed class, courts are reluctant to certify a class represented by a pro se litigant.") (citations omitted) (citing Phillips v. Tobin, 548 F.2d 408, 413-14 (2d Cir. 1976); Oxendine v. Williams, 509 F.2d 1405, 1407 (4th Cir. 1975); Ethnic Awareness Organization v. Gagnon, 568 F. Supp. 1186, 1187 (E.D.Wis. 1983)).

The portion of the referenced motion in which the plaintiff seeks the appointment of class counsel is **also denied** because the court has not determined that there are viable claims which can proceed in this action and for the reason explained in paragraph 2 of the Entry issued on July 20, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Darnell Wesley Moon Reg. No. 34077-044 United States Penitentiary P.O. Box 33 Terre Haute, IN 47808