UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA TERRE HAUTE DIVISION

)

)

)

)

)

ROBERT DAVID NEAL,

vs.

JOHN C. OLIVER,

| Petitioner, | |
|-------------|--|
| | |
| Paspondont | |

2:12-cv-317-JMS-DKL

Respondent.

Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment

The petitioner's motion to alter or amend judgment [dkt. 33] is treated as labeled in relation to final judgment issued on July 1, 2013, and as so treated is **denied**. The reason for this ruling is that the action was properly dismissed for the reasons explained in the Entry of July 1, 2013. *Harrington v. City of Chicago*, 433 F.3d 542, 546 (7th Cir. 2006) (AAltering or amending a judgment under Rule 59(e) is permissible when there is newly discovered evidence or there has been a manifest error of law or fact.@)(citing *Bordelon v. Chicago Sch. Reform Bd. of Trs.*, 233 F.3d 524, 529 (7th Cir. 2000)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 07/22/2013

Distribution:

Robert David Neal #15151-180 Terre Haute USP Inmate Mail/Parcels P.O. Box 33 Terre Haute, IN 47808

Electronically Registered Counsel

Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge United States District Court Southern District of Indiana