

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
vs.)	No. 3:06-CV-05-RLY-WGH
)	No. EV 02-05-CR-Y/H-04
)	
WALTER BRELAND,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Entry Discussing Motion for Relief Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255

Defendant Breland’s motion to alter or amend judgment filed in 3:05-cv-101-RLY-WGH has been treated as a motion for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and assigned the above civil docket number.

When there has already been a decision on the merits in a federal habeas action, to obtain another round of federal collateral review a petitioner requires permission from the Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b). See *Potts v. United States*, 210 F.3d 770, 770 (7th Cir. 2000). This statute, § 2244(b)(3), “creates a ‘gatekeeping’ mechanism for the consideration of second or successive [habeas] applications in the district court.” *Felker v. Turpin*, 518 U.S. 651, 657 (1996); see *Benefiel v. Davis*, 403 F.3d 825, 827 (7th Cir. 2005); *United States v. Lloyd*, 398 F.3d 978 (7th Cir. 2005). Thus,

[a]nyone who files a “second or successive” application must start in the court of appeals, and any effort to begin in the district court must be dismissed for want of jurisdiction


Benton v. Washington, 106 F.3d 162, 165 (7th Cir.1996). Section 2244 has been described as “self-executing.” *Nunez v. United States*, 96 F.3d 990, 991 (7th Cir. 1996). This means that a district court lacks all jurisdiction over such a matter until permission to file is granted by the Court of Appeals. *Id.*

Breland has already filed an action for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, which reached an adjudication on the merits in No. 3:05-CV-101-RLY-WGH through final judgment entered on the clerk’s docket on November 30, 2005. The present action is another attempt to collaterally challenge the sentence. However, it is presented without authorization from the Court of Appeals to do so. Accordingly, the action must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 4 of the *Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings in the United States District Court*.

Judgment consistent with this Entry shall now issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 01/13/2005


 RICHARD L. YOUNG, JUDGE
 United States District Court
 Southern District of Indiana