MURRAY v. CARLSON et al Doc. 22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA NEW ALBANY DIVISION

REVEREND LISA MARIA MURRAY,)	
Plaintiff,)	4.11 42 CED TAD
VS.)	4:11-cv-42- SEB-TAB
)	
CURTIS M. CARLSON, et. al,)	
Defendants.)	

ENTRY ON PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER

(Docket No. 19)

This case was filed by Plaintiff, *pro se*, on April 14, 2011. In the nearly thirteen (13) months that have transpired since, the case has not moved forward at all.

At the end of January 2012, the Court ordered Plaintiff: (1) to state with specificity the injunctive relief she is seeking; (2) identify each defendant from whom she seeks injunctive relief; and (3) supplement her complaint by alleging facts sufficient to support a plausible claim for relief pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act. [Docket Nos. 7 & 8.] On February 12, 2012, Gary, Indiana attorney, J. Allen Johnson, entered an appearance on behalf of Plaintiff, but the case has still not moved forward.

Indeed, after Attorney Johnson entered his appearance, there were further delays. First, Attorney Johnson delayed approximately two months before getting registered for electronic filing and service in this District. Then, Attorney Johnson failed to comply with the March 30, 2012, extended deadline to correct the pleading deficiencies.

On April 11, 2012, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause why the case should not be

dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to perfect service on the defendants and otherwise comply with the

Court's orders, but Attorney Johnson did not respond. Instead, his legal secretary responded on his

behalf, preparing a document and signing his name to it without first consulting him, explaining that

Attorney Johnson was out of town tending to a sick relative and "has no knowledge of the Order to

Show Cause that was dated 4/11/12, since I, his secretary, was the only one to open the ECF today,

and have not been able to get into [sic] touch with Attorney Johnson as of yet." [Response to Order

to Show Cause at Docket No. 19.] The secretary asked the Court "to please give us one last

extension of time in order to do what the Court has asked us to do." Id.¹

The Court attempts to be reasonable in response to parties and their counsel when

unexpected personal issues arise, but the forbearance required in this case exceeds all reasonable

bounds. We shall thus allow Plaintiff one final extension of time, not to extend beyond June 15,

2012, within which to comply with the Entries at Docket Nos. 7 and 8 as well as the applicable federal

and local rules of procedure. Further noncompliance will result in a dismissal with prejudice,

pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) and Local Rule 41-1.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 05/14/2012

SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Said Carus Bank

Copies to:

J. Allen Johnson everybodyrights112@gmail.com

The Court will refrain from commenting on the ethics of an attorney leaving his secretary to prepare and sign his name on filings which the attorney has no knowledge of, but finds it rather concerning that in the days since that filing was made, Attorney Johnson has taken no steps to explain, supplement, or ratify it.