


parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21. When Plaintiff failed to respond to that motion, the Removing Defendants moved for a summary ruling pursuant to Local Rule 7-1(c)(4).

The court referred these three motions to the Magistrate Judge, who issued her Report and Recommendation. The Magistrate Judge recommends that this court: (1) deny Plaintiff's Motion to Remand; (2) dismiss GFS USA and GFSS Inc. as parties to the action; (3) grant the Removing Defendants' Motion to Drop Defendants; and (4) deny as moot the Removing Defendants' Motion for Summary Ruling. No party objects. Consequently, the court reviews the Report and Recommendation for clear error. *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999). After reviewing the record, the Report and Recommendation, and the relevant case law, this court is satisfied that the Magistrate Judge did not commit clear error.

Therefore, the court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Filing No. 33). Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Filing No. 20) is **DENIED**. The Removing Defendants' Motion to Drop Defendants (Filing No. 30) is **GRANTED**, and their Motion for Summary Ruling (Filing No. 32) is **DENIED AS MOOT**. Gordon Food Service USA, Inc. and Gordon Food Services, Inc. are hereby **DROPPED** from this suit.

SO ORDERED this 21st day of April 2016



RICHARD L. YOUNG, CHIEF JUDGE
United States District Court
Southern District of Indiana

Distributed Electronically to Registered Counsel of Record.