

EXHIBIT A

1184551_1.TXT

1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF KANSAS

- - - - -x
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS :
COMPANY, :
Plaintiff, :
v. : No. 052433 JW
VONAGE HOLDING CORPORATION :
and VONAGE AMERICA, INC., :
Defendants. :
- - - - -x

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
STEPHEN B. WICKER, Ph. D.

TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS

SEPTEMBER 3, 2007

Henderson Legal Services
(202) 220-4158

2

1184551_1.TXT

13 has two limitations involving the processing
14 system and an asynchronous communication system.

15 Q. What are the components of a processing
16 system?

17 MR. BURESH: Objection, vague and
18 ambiguous.

19 A. Okay, the processing system called for
20 in this claim is a processing system that is
21 external to narrowband switches and configured
22 to receive and process the first message, to
23 select one of the narrowband switches, and to
24 generate and transmit a second message based on
25 the selected narrowband switch.

Henderson Legal Services
(202) 220-4158

9

1 So the components of a processing
2 system, as called for in this limitation, would
3 be components that perform or provide the
4 capability for performing these actions.

5 Q. And these actions are to receive and
6 process the first message and to generate and
7 transmit a second message; is that correct?

8 A. To -- it's configured to receive and
9 process the first message, yes, and to generate
10 and transmit a second message, that's correct.

11 Q. Does the processing system perform any
12 other functions?

13 MR. BURESH: Same objection,
14 vague and ambiguous.

1184551_1.TXT

15 A. The processing system called for in
16 this limitation has -- has to do or be
17 configured to do two things, generally speaking.
18 As we've discussed, receive and process the
19 first message, to select one of the narrowband
20 switches, and to generate and transmit a second
21 message, based on the selected narrowband
22 switch. The processing system may do other
23 things as well.

24 Q. (By Mr. McPhail) What other things
25 would the processing or could the processing

Henderson Legal Services
(202) 220-4158

10

1 system do?

2 A. The processing system could do a wide
3 variety of things. As a processing system
4 within the boundaries of this limitation, it
5 only has to do two things. And when I say two,
6 one of them is to receive and process, the other
7 to generate and transmit. It's two instead of
8 four, depends on how we count.

9 But other things it could do, it could
10 be a wide variety of things. There could be
11 operations and maintenance associated with the
12 processing system. There could be -- there's
13 going to be power functionality; in other words
14 it's going to be basic power supply of some kind
15 that may be more complicated, depending on the
16 various systems used to do the things called for

1184551_1.TXT

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

In Re: Sprint v. Vonage Holding, et al.

Dear Mr. Buresh:

Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of STEPHEN B. WICKER, Ph.D. taken on September 3, 2007, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet.

Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public.

Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Mr. Donald McPhail for filing.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Glenda Moeller, CCR #962, RMR, CRR

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Donald McPhail

Henderson Legal Services
(202) 220-4158

54

1 STATE OF)
2 COUNTY OF)

3 I, STEPHEN B. WICKER, Ph.D., do hereby certify:
4 That I have read the foregoing deposition;
5 That I have made such changes in form and/or
6 substance to the within deposition as might be
7 necessary to render the same true and correct;
8 That having made such changes thereon, I
9 hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the
foregoing is true and correct.

STEPHEN B. WICKER, Ph. D.
Page 50

1184551_1.TXT

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Executed this day of ,
2007, at .

Notary Public:

My Commission Expires:

Signature page to: Mr. Eric Buresh

STEPHEN B. WICKER, Ph. D. - SEPTEMBER 3, 2007

SPRINT v. VONAGE

Henderson Legal Services
(202) 220-4158

55

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

ERRATA SHEET

IN RE: Sprint v. Vonage
DEPOSITION OF: STEPHEN B. WICKER, Ph. D.

PG/LN NO.	CORRECTION	REASON FOR CHANGE
5	: _____:	_____:
6	: _____:	_____:
7	: _____:	_____:
8	: _____:	_____:
9	: _____:	_____:
10	: _____:	_____:
11	: _____:	_____: