

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
 v.) **Case No. 15-2209-CM**
)
 THE STATE OF KANSAS,)
)
 Defendant.)
 _____)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
 v.) **Case No. 15-2285-CM**
)
 COMPASS,)
 SOUTHWEST CASE, and)
 THE STATE OF KANSAS,)
)
 Defendants.)
 _____)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
 v.) **Case No. 15-2289-CM**
)
 GRAY COUNTY KANSAS SHERIFF)
 and THE STATE OF KANSAS,)
)
 Defendants.)
 _____)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
 v.) **Case No. 15-2307-CM**
)
 GRAY COUNTY SHERIFF)
 DEPARTMENT, JEFF SHARP, and)

THE STATE OF KANSAS,)
)
 Defendants.)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)

v.)

Case No. 15-2309-CM

ERIC HARMAN and)
 THE STATE OF KANSAS,)
)
 Defendants.)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)

v.)

Case No. 15-2310-CM

JOSEPH SALEM and)
 H.E. SALEM CORPORATION,)
)
 Defendants.)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)

v.)

Case No. 15-2311-CM

SHAWNEE POLICE DEPARTMENT))
 and JOHNSON COUNTY SHERRIFF)
 DEPARTMENT,)
)
 Defendants.)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
 Plaintiff,)

v.)

Case No. 15-2312-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

**KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI,
POLICE DEPARTMENT,**

Defendant.

Case No. 15-2588-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

WALMART,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-2590-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

**JOSEPH SALEM and
H.E. SALEM CORPORATION,**

Defendants.

Case No. 15-2607-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

**JIM KRAMER and
THE STATE OF KANSAS,**

Defendants.

Case No. 15-2608-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

**DORALYN DAY and
STATE OF MISSOURI,**

Defendants.

Case No. 15-2609-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

STACY PYLE and NATHAN PYLE,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-2622-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

JUDITH WALDRON,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-2627-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

**VALLEY VIEW BANK and
BANCABILITY,**

Defendants.

Case No. 15-2637-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
McDONALDS,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No. 15-2638-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
SHAWNEE POLICE)
DEPARTMENT,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No. 15-2641-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
CHEX SYSTEMS, INC.)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No. 15-2644-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
CAPITAL ONE,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No. 15-2645-CM

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)

v. **Plaintiff,**)
) **Case No. 15-2647-CM**
)
VALLEY VIEW BANK and)
BANCABILITY,)
)
Defendants.)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.) **Case No. 15-2648-CM**
)
JOSEPH SALEM and)
H.E. SALEM CORPORATION,)
)
Defendants.)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.) **Case No. 15-2653-CM**
)
KANSAS BUREAU OF)
INVESTIGATION,)
)
Defendant.)

SKYLAR HESSON SALEM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.) **Case No. 15-2654-CM**
)
MERRIAM POLICE)
DEPARTMENT,)
)
Defendant.)

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the court on the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James P. O'Hara. Plaintiff is not represented by counsel and the Report and Recommendation was mailed to him at his last known address on March 26, 2015.¹ No objections to Magistrate Judge O'Hara's Report and Recommendation have been filed, and the time for doing so has expired. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The court has reviewed the thorough Report and Recommendation filed by Magistrate Judge O'Hara. Based upon that review and the fact that no objection has been filed to it, the court accepts, adopts and affirms the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. As recommended by Magistrate Judge O'Hara, the court also imposes the following filing restrictions on plaintiff:

Salem is prohibited from filing another complaint at this courthouse, unless he is represented by counsel.

Salem may only file a pro se complaint or document after he provides a notarized affidavit that verifies in paragraph form, with particularity, how the contemplated complaint entitles him to relief. The affidavit must provide notice of the restriction and must provide a copy of the proposed complaint as an exhibit.

Upon compliance with the above stated requirements, the court will review the affidavit and the proposed filing to determine whether it should be accepted for filing. If Salem attempts to file any complaint or document that fails to comply with these restrictions, the Clerk of the Court is directed not to accept and/or file the document.

Accordingly, and after reviewing the file *de novo*, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation issued by United States Magistrate Judge James P. O'Hara on March 26, 2015 is **ACCEPTED, ADOPTED and AFFIRMED**. All of plaintiff's cases are dismissed with prejudice. Plaintiff is also restricted from filing new complaint(s), unless the conditions set forth in this order have been met.

¹ This was the same mailing address to which Magistrate Judge O'Hara's Notice of Hearing and Order to Show Cause were sent via certified mail, the receipt of which was confirmed on March 11, 2015. (*See* Docs. 15 & 16.)

These cases are now closed.

Dated this 24th day of April, 2015, at Kansas City, Kansas.

s/ Carlos Murguia
CARLOS MURGUIA
United States District Judge