

be tried more expeditiously and inexpensively. *Houk v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, 613 F. Supp. 923, 927 (W.D. Mo. 1985). Where the balance of relevant factors is equal or weighs only slightly in favor of the movant, the motion to transfer should be denied. *Id.*

Prior to this motion, Defendants filed a motion to transfer venue to the District of Kansas (Doc. 10). In that motion, Defendants discuss the factors supporting a change of venue in this case. Now the parties jointly move to transfer venue to the District of Kansas.

The facts of this case weigh in favor of granting a change of venue. Defendants state all or nearly all of the witnesses, the business records, and potential exhibits are in Kansas. In addition, the events giving rise to this cause of action occurred in Kansas. Defendants state they are subject to personal jurisdiction in Kansas. Also, Defendants anticipate transferring this matter would not cause a significant delay in this case. Finally, Defendants state given the location of the underlying events, witnesses, and business records, litigating in Kansas would be more convenient for the parties. Also weighing in favor of transfer is Plaintiff's consent to transfer this case.

Accordingly, the Court finds it appropriate to grant the motion to transfer this case to the District of Kansas.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: August 28, 2017

/s/ Greg Kays
GREG KAYS, CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT