

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

**ANTONIO ALEXANDER MCGEE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**CASE NO. 23-3245-JWL**

**AUSTIN J. CHANNELL,**

**Defendant.**

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is a civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, a prisoner in state custody, proceeds pro se. Plaintiff brings this action against a Correctional Officer at the El Dorado Correctional Facility. Plaintiff alleges that on November 9, 2023, when Plaintiff was “making a bet on a window in [his] cell,” the CO hopped up from the control tower, grabbed a shotgun and pointed it at Plaintiff telling Plaintiff to stop kicking the window. (Doc. 1, at 2.) Plaintiff alleges that Plaintiff “never once kicked the window,” so Plaintiff “kept on banging because as he was pointing it at me I was not refusing to stop anything he was yelling about because his order was to stop kicking the window.” *Id.* at 1–2. Plaintiff seeks to press charges and to recover damages for trauma and PTSD. *Id.* at 7. Plaintiff also attaches his Complaint in Case No. 23-3240. (Doc. 1–1.)

Plaintiff has not submitted the filing fee, and the Court finds he is subject to the “three-strikes” provision under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Court records establish that Plaintiff “has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated . . . , brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim

upon which relief may be granted.”<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, he may proceed in forma pauperis only if he establishes a threat of “imminent danger of serious physical injury.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

“To meet the only exception to the prepayment requirement, a prisoner who has accrued three strikes must make ‘specific, credible allegations of imminent danger of serious physical harm.’” *Davis v. GEO Group Corr.*, 696 F. App’x 851, 854 (10th Cir. 2017) (unpublished) (quoting *Hafed v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 635 F.3d 1172, 1179 (10th Cir. 2011)). “Vague and utterly conclusory assertions are insufficient.” *Id.* The harm must be imminent or occurring at the time the complaint is filed, “allegations of past harm do not suffice.” *Id.* (citations omitted). The “imminent danger” exception has a temporal limitation—[t]he exception is construed narrowly and available only ‘for genuine emergencies,’ where ‘time is pressing’ and ‘a threat . . . is real and proximate.’” *Lynn v. Roberts*, No. 11-3073-JAR, 2011 WL 3667171, at \*2 (D. Kan. Aug. 22, 2011) (citation omitted). “Congress included an exception to the ‘three strikes’ rule for those cases in which it appears that judicial action is needed as soon as possible to prevent serious physical injuries from occurring in the meantime.” *Id.* (citation omitted).

The Court has examined the Complaint and attachments and finds no showing of imminent danger of serious physical injury. Accordingly, pursuant to § 1915(g) Plaintiff may not proceed in forma pauperis in this civil action. Plaintiff is given time to pay the full \$402.00 district court filing fee<sup>2</sup> to the Court. If he fails to pay the full fee within the prescribed time, the Complaint will be dismissed based upon Plaintiff’s failure to satisfy the statutory district court filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914.

---

<sup>1</sup> The qualifying dismissals are: (1) Case No. 20-3131-SAC, *McGee v. Doe* (dismissed for failure to state a claim for relief); (2-3) Case No. 20-3085-SAC, *McGee v. Corizon* (same), *affirmed on appeal* (Case No. 20-3111, 10th Cir. Oct. 14, 2020) (finding the appeal frivolous and noting that Plaintiff now has four strikes).

<sup>2</sup> If a person is not granted in forma pauperis status under § 1915, the fee to file a non-habeas civil action includes the \$350.00 fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) and a \$52.00 general administrative fee pursuant to § 1914(b) and the District Court Miscellaneous Fee Schedule prescribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT** that Plaintiff is denied leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff is granted until **December 8, 2023**, to submit the \$402.00 filing fee. The failure to submit the fee by that date will result in the dismissal of this matter without prejudice and without additional prior notice.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**Dated November 14, 2023, in Kansas City, Kansas.**

**S/ John W. Lungstrum**  
**JOHN W. LUNGSTRUM**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**