

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
LOUISVILLE DIVISION**

FILED US
WESTERN DISTRICT COURT CLERK
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KY
18 MAR -7 PM 1:26

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:16CV-00642-JHM

KING JOSEPH X

PLAINTIFF

V.

LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP, INC.

DEFENDANT

JURY INSTRUCTIONS

These instructions will be in three parts: first, general rules that define and control your duties as jurors; second, the rules of law that you must apply in deciding whether the Plaintiff has proven his case; and third, some rules for your deliberations. A copy of these instructions will be available for you in the jury room.

I. GENERAL RULES CONCERNING JURY DUTIES

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. You must apply the law to those facts. You must follow the law I give to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you and according to the law, as you gave your oaths to do at the beginning of this case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all equally important. And you must not read into these instructions, or into anything I may have said or done, any suggestion as to what verdict you should return -- that is a matter entirely for you to decide.

The lawyers may refer to some of the governing rules of law in their arguments.

However, if any differences appear to you between the law as stated by the lawyers and what I state in these instructions, you are to be governed solely by my instructions.

BURDEN OF PROOF

The Plaintiff has the burden of proving his case by what is called a preponderance of the evidence. That means that the Plaintiff has to produce evidence that, considered in light of all the facts, leads you to believe that what the Plaintiff claims is more likely true than not. If the Plaintiff fails to meet this burden, the verdict must be for the Defendant.

EVIDENCE

The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of (1) the sworn testimony of witnesses, here in Court or by deposition, both on direct and cross-examination, regardless of who called the witness; (2) the exhibits that have been received into evidence; and (3) any facts to which the lawyers have agreed or stipulated to or that have been judicially noticed.

WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE

The following things are not evidence and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are:

- 1) Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence;
- 2) Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence;
- 3) Testimony I have instructed you to disregard is not evidence; and
- 4) Anything you may have seen or heard when the Court was not in session is not evidence.

DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

There are two kinds of evidence: direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct

proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a fact or chain of facts from which you could draw the inference, by reason and common sense, that another fact exists, even though it has not been proven directly. You are entitled to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

In deciding what the facts are, you must consider all of the evidence. In doing this, you must decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may disbelieve all or any part of any witness's testimony. You might want to take into consideration such factors as the witnesses' conduct and demeanor while testifying; their apparent fairness or any bias or prejudice they may have; any interest you may discern that they may have in the outcome of the case; their opportunities for seeing and knowing the things about which they have testified; the reasonableness or unreasonableness of the events that they have related to you in their testimony; and any other facts or circumstances disclosed by the evidence that tend to corroborate or contradict their versions of the events.

In deciding whether to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes forget things. You need to consider, therefore, whether a contradiction is an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or with only a small detail.

The weight of the evidence presented by each side does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses testifying on one side or the other. You must consider all the evidence in the case, and you may decide that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side has greater weight than that of a larger number on the other or vice versa.



You should use your common sense in weighing the evidence. Consider it in light of your everyday experience with people and events, and give it whatever weight you believe it deserves. If your experience tells you that certain evidence reasonably leads to a conclusion, you are free to reach that conclusion.

All of these are matters for you to consider in deciding the facts.

OPINION EVIDENCE

You have heard testimony from persons who have testified as an opinion witness. You do not have to accept the opinions. In deciding how much weight to give to it, you should consider the witness's qualifications and how the witness reached his or her conclusions. Also consider the other factors discussed in these instructions for weighing the credibility of witnesses.

In this trial, these witnesses were, at times, asked hypothetical questions and they gave answers to such questions. In answering a hypothetical question, an opinion witness must accept as true every asserted fact stated therein, but this does not mean that you must. If you find that assumed facts are not proven, you should disregard the answer based on the hypothetical question.

Remember that you alone decide how much of a witness's testimony to believe, and how much weight it deserves.

LIABILITY OF CORPORATIONS

The Defendant, Liberty Mutual Group, is a corporation. The fact that it is a corporation should not affect your decision. All persons are equal before the law, and a corporation, whether large or small, is entitled to the same fair and conscientious consideration by you as any other person.



II. RULES OF LAW
INSTRUCTION NO. 1

The Court has determined that the only question for you to decide in this case is whether the Plaintiff has sustained any damages directly by reason of the May 9, 2015 accident. Therefore, you will determine from the evidence and award Plaintiff a sum of money that will fairly and reasonably compensate him for the following damages that you believe by a preponderance of the evidence he sustained directly by reason of the May 9, 2015 accident, if any:

- 1) Medical expenses
- 2) Physical and Mental Pain and Suffering.

If you determine that Plaintiff has sustained damages directly by reason of the May 9, 2015 accident, your award shall include compensation for losses attributable or related to any pre-existing physical condition, but only if and to the extent that any such pre-existing physical condition was aroused or aggravated by the accident in question.

The fact that I instruct you on damages should not be taken by you as indicating one way or the other whether Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages. This is entirely for you to decide. Any damages you award must have a reasonable basis in the evidence. They need not be mathematically exact but there must be enough evidence for you to make a reasonable estimate of damages without speculation or guess work.

III. JURY DELIBERATIONS

When you retire, you will discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. The first order of business should be the selection of a foreperson. That person will preside over your deliberations and speak for you here in Court.

REACHING AGREEMENT

Your verdict must be unanimous and based solely on the evidence and on the law as I have given it to you in these instructions. You must all agree on any verdict you reach.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you consider all the evidence, discuss it fully with each other, and listen to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinion if you think you are wrong. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

This case has taken a great deal of time and effort to prepare and try. There is no reason to think it could be better tried or that another jury is better qualified to decide it. Therefore, it is important that you reach a verdict if you can do so conscientiously. If it looks at some point as if you may have difficulty in reaching a unanimous verdict, you should reexamine your position to see whether you have given careful consideration and sufficient weight to the evidence that has favorably impressed the jurors who disagree with you. You should not hesitate to reconsider your views from time to time and to change them if you think that is appropriate.

It is important that you attempt to return a verdict but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made his or her own conscientious determination. Do not surrender an honest conviction as to the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.



NOTES

Now I would like to say something about the notes you may have taken during the trial. Your notes should be used only as memory aids. You should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence. If you have not taken notes, you should rely upon your independent recollection of the proceeding, and you should not be unduly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

Notes are not entitled to any greater weight than the memory or impression of each juror as to what the testimony may have been. Whether you have used notes or not, each of you must form and express your own opinion of the facts of the case.

You will notice that we do have an official court reporter making a record of the trial. However, we will not have a typewritten transcript from the record available for your use in reaching a decision in this case.

RETURN OF VERDICT FORM

I have prepared a Verdict Form for your use in making your verdict. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your foreperson will fill in the verdict form that has been given to you and advise the Marshal outside your door that you are ready to return to the courtroom. After you return to the courtroom, your foreperson will deliver the completed verdict form as directed.

COMMUNICATION WITH THE COURT

Do not talk to the Marshal, or to me, or to anyone else, except each other, about this case. If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the Marshal signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me on anything concerning the

case except by a signed writing or here in open Court. Remember that you are not to tell anyone—including me—how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.

