Davis v. Bastings et al Doc. 80

> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY AT OWENSBORO

**ULYSSES S.G. DAVIS III** 

**PLAINTIFF** 

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:05CV-84-M

CHARLES BASTING et al.

**DEFENDANTS** 

**MEMORANDUM OPINION** 

By Memorandum and Order entered August 20, 2009 (DN 79), the Court granted

Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration; vacated the Court's September 16, 2008, Memorandum

Opinion and Order dismissing the action for lack of prosecution; and reopened the instant action.

The Court further directed the Clerk of Court to reinstate Defendants' motion to dismiss/for

summary judgment to the Court's active docket and directed Plaintiff to file a response to

Defendants' motion to dismiss/for summary judgment within 30 days from the date of entry of the

Order. The Court warned Plaintiff that his failure to comply within 30 days would result in

dismissal of this action and that no further delay would be tolerated.

Thirty days have passed, and a review of the record reveals that, despite this Court's

vacation of its prior dismissal and reopening of the action to provide Plaintiff with an opportunity

to respond to Defendants' motion to dismiss/for summary judgment, Plaintiff has not done so.

Accordingly, and due to Plaintiff's continued failure to prosecute this action, the Court will

dismiss this action by separate Order for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a prior

order of this Court under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Date: September 30, 2009

Joseph H. McKinley, Jr., Judge **United States District Court** 

Plaintiff, pro se cc: Counsel of Record

4414.005