UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY AT OWENSBORO

FRANKLIN LEROY HOWELL II

PLAINTIFF

DEFENDANTS

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:12CV-P29-M

McCLEAN COUNTY COURTS et al.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, Franklin Leroy Howell II, initiated this *pro se* civil action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on February 22, 2012. He also filed an application to proceed without prepayment of fees. Because Plaintiff's application did not include a certified copy of his prison trust account statement, the Clerk of Court issued a deficiency to Plaintiff on February 22, 2012, directing him to file a certified copy of his prison trust account statement within 30 days. Plaintiff failed to respond to the notice of deficiency.

On April 23, 2012, the Court entered an Order directing Plaintiff to file a certified copy of his prison trust account statement within 28 days. On May 3, 2012, that mailing was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Return to Sender, Not Deliverable as Addressed, Unable to Forward." Plaintiff has not advised the Court of a change of address.

Upon filing the instant action, Plaintiff assumed the responsibility of keeping this Court advised of his current address and to actively litigate his claims. *See* LR 5.2(d) ("All pro se litigants must provide written notice of a change of address to the Clerk and to the opposing party or the opposing party's counsel. Failure to notify the Clerk of an address change may result in the dismissal of the litigant's case or other appropriate sanctions."). Because Plaintiff has not advised the Court of a change of address, neither notices from this Court nor filings by Defendants in this action can be served on Plaintiff. In such situations, courts have an inherent power "acting on their own initiative, to clear their calendars of cases that have remained dormant because of the inaction or dilatoriness of the parties seeking relief." *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630 (1962).

Because it appears to this Court that Plaintiff has abandoned any interest in prosecution of this case, the Court will dismiss the case by separate Order.

Date: May 25, 2012

presh > Sinleyp

Joseph H. McKinley, Jr., Chief Judge United States District Court

cc: Plaintiff, *pro se* Defendants 4414.010