Carlock v. Osborne Doc. 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY AT OWENSBORO CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:14CV-P19-M

CLIFF JOSHUA CARLOCK

PLAINTIFF

V

DAVID OSBORNE

DEFENDANT

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff Cliff Joshua Carlock, a pro se prisoner, initiated this civil action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Upon filing the instant action, he assumed the responsibility of keeping this Court advised of his current address and to actively litigate his claims. See LR 5.2(d) ("All pro se litigants must provide written notice of a change of address to the Clerk and to the opposing party or the opposing party's counsel. Failure to notify the Clerk of an address change may result in the dismissal of the litigant's case or other appropriate sanctions.").

The Clerk of Court sent a mailing to Plaintiff on August 21, 2014. That mailing was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Return to Sender, Attempted - Not Known, Unable to Forward." Plaintiff apparently is no longer housed at his address of record, and he has not advised the Court of a change of address. Therefore, neither notices from this Court nor filings by Defendant in this action can be served on Plaintiff. In such situations, courts have an inherent power "acting on their own initiative, to clear their calendars of cases that have remained dormant because of the inaction or dilatoriness of the parties seeking relief." Link v.Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630 (1962). Because it appears to this Court that Plaintiff

has abandoned any interest in prosecution of this case, the Court will dismiss the case by separate Order.

Date: September 22, 2014

Joseph H. McKinley, Jr., Chief Judge United States District Court

cc: Plaintiff, pro se

Defendant

4414.010