

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY  
AT OWENSBORO**

**WOODROW ALLEN FRAZIER**

**PLAINTIFF**

**v.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:16CV-P41-JHM**

**MATT JOHNSON**

**DEFENDANT**

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Plaintiff Woodrow Allen Frazier filed a pro se action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By Order entered May 12, 2016 (DN 6), the Court granted Plaintiff's application to proceed without prepayment of fees. On June 1, 2016, the copy of the Order mailed to Plaintiff was returned to the Court by the United States Postal Service with the returned envelope marked "Return to Sender," "Not Deliverable As Addressed," and "Unable to Forward" (DN 7).


Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure authorizes the involuntary dismissal of an action if a plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with an order of the court. See *Jourdan v. Jabe*, 951 F.2d 108, 109 (6th Cir. 1991) ("Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) recognizes the power of the district court to enter a sua sponte order of dismissal."). "Further, the United States Supreme Court has recognized that courts have an inherent power to manage their own affairs and may dismiss a case sua sponte for lack of prosecution." *Lyons-Bey v. Pennell*, 93 F. App'x 732, 733 (6th Cir. 2004) (citing *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962)).

Review of the docket sheet reveals that over a month has passed without Plaintiff providing any notice of an address change. Consequently, neither orders from this Court nor filings by Defendant can be served on him. The Court, therefore, concludes that Plaintiff has abandoned any interest in prosecuting this case and that dismissal is warranted. See, e.g., *White v. City of Grand Rapids*, 34 F. App'x 210, 211 (6th Cir. 2002) ("[Plaintiff's] complaint was

subject to dismissal for want of prosecution because he failed to keep the district court apprised of his current address.”); *Hananiah v. Shelby Cty. Gov’t*, No. 12-3074-JDT-TMP, 2015 WL 52089, at \*3 (W.D. Tenn. Jan. 2, 2015) (“Without such basic information as a plaintiff’s current address, courts have no recourse but to dismiss a complaint for failure to prosecute.”).

The Court will enter a separate Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: July 25, 2016

  
Joseph H. McKinley, Jr., Chief Judge  
United States District Court

cc: Plaintiff, pro se  
Defendant  
4414.005