Robinson v. Parker et al Doc. 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY AT PADUCAH

ANTHONY L. ROBINSON

PLAINTIFF

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:12CV-P45-R

PHILIP PARKER et al.

DEFENDANTS

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. In a civil case such as this action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, appointment of counsel is not a constitutional right. *Lavado v. Keohane*, 992 F.2d 601, 605 (6th Cir. 1993). Title 28 of the United States Code, Section 1915(e)(1)¹ indicates that court-enlisted assistance of counsel is not mandatory but merely a matter of discretion. *See, e.g., Childs v. Pellegrin*, 822 F.2d 1382, 1384 (6th Cir. 1987) ("[T]he appointment of counsel in a civil case is, as is the privilege of proceeding *in forma pauperis*, a matter within the discretion of the court. It is a privilege and not a right.") (quoting *United States v. Madden*, 352 F.2d 792, 793 (9th Cir. 1965)). "It is a privilege that is justified only by exceptional circumstances." *Lavado v. Keohane*, 992 F.2d at 606 (quoting *Wahl v. McIver*, 773 F.2d 1169, 1174 (11th Cir. 1985)). "In determining whether 'exceptional circumstances' exist, courts have examined 'the type of case and the abilities of the plaintiff to represent himself.' This generally involves a determination of the 'complexity of the factual and legal issues involved." *Id.* (citations omitted).

Plaintiff asks for appointment of counsel because he has been transferred to the Kentucky State Reformatory Nursing Care because he suffered a mild stroke. He states that his "mental

¹Section 1915(e)(1) provides that "[t]he court *may* request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel." (emphasis added).

capacity is not as normal as when this action first occurred." However, shortly after filing this

motion, Plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment. It appears that Plaintiff is articulate and

able to represent himself sufficiently at this time. Consequently, the Court finds that Plaintiff

has not set forth any "exceptional circumstances" warranting appointment of counsel at this time.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for appointment of counsel (DN 18) is **DENIED**.

Nothing in this Order shall preclude Plaintiff from requesting appointment of counsel at a future

point in this action should circumstances arise to justify such an appointment.

Date:

cc:

Plaintiff, *pro se*Counsel of record

4413.009

2