Lamb v. Telle et al Doc. 89

> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY PADUCAH DIVISION

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:12-CV-00070-TBR

**ZACHARY AMOS LAMB** 

Plaintiff

V.

JACK TELLE, et al.

Defendants

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon Defendant Rodney Hill's Motion in Limine

to preclude Plaintiff from introducing or attempting to introduce at trial any hearsay

statements. (Docket No. 76.) Plaintiff Zachary Lamb has not responded in the time

period mandated by the Scheduling Order. (Docket No. 29.) The Court will **GRANT** 

this motion.

Normally hearsay statements are not admissible. The Court is uncertain as to

which statement Defendant is referring. It is ORDERED that Plaintiff may not

introduce statements which are hearsay, but the Court will consider objections raised at

trial. The motion is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: November 19, 2013

cc:

Zachary Amos Lamb

P.O. Box 153

New Concord, KY 42076

Thomas B. Russell, Senior Judge **United States District Court** 

Page 1 of 1