UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY PADUCAH DIVISION CASE NO. 5:18-CV-00041-BJB-LLK

GALE CARTER, et al.

v.

PASCHALL TRUCK LINES, INC., et al.

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the motion of the Defendant Element Fleet

Management Corp. and Element Transportation, LLC's, ("Element's"), attorney to withdraw as

counsel. [DN 223]. For the reasons discussed below, the Motion is DENIED.

Local Rule 83.6 provides three circumstances where an attorney may withdraw outside of

the 21-day window of trial. These are:

(a) The attorney files a motion, his or her client consents in writing, and another attorney enters his or her appearance; or
(b) The attorney files a motion, certifies the motion was served on the client, makes a showing of good cause, and the Court consents to the withdrawal on whatever terms the Court chooses to impose.
(c) In cases where an attorney seeks to be substituted for another as attorney of record, and both attorneys are within the same partnership or other legal professional association, a notice of substitute attorney with an affirmative representation stating that the substitution is made with the client's consent; the notice may, but need not be, signed by the client.

Here, where Richard L. Etter will remain as counsel to Element and the attorney filed

their motion to withdraw, the attorney has attempted compliance with LR 83.6(a). However,

Local Rule 83.6(a) requires "his or her client consents in writing" which has not been provided.

Therefore, the Plaintiff has failed to meet the consent requirement of LR 83.6(a)

1

PLAINTIFFS

DEFENDANTS

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel is

DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED. February 9, 2021

an 9

Lanny King, Magistrate Judge United States District Court

C: Counsel