Gibson v. Gusman et al Doc. 48

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

PHILIP GIBSON		CIVIL ACTION	
VERSUS		NUMBER: 13-0463	
MARLIN GUSMAN, <u>ET</u>	<u>AL</u> .	SECTION: "G"(5)	
	ORDER ON MO SEPTEMBER 12		
APPEARANCES:			
MOTION:			
	Order to Show Caus	Judgment (Rec. doc. 43). e for a Preliminary Injunction	
: Continu	ed to		
: No opposition			
: Opposition			
	<u>ORDERE</u>	<u>D</u>	
: Dismiss	: Dismissed as moot.		
: Dismiss	Dismissed for failure of counsel to appear.		
: Granted	Granted.		

## 1, 2: Denied.

- 1: The Clerk of Court has not entered a default under Rule 55(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this case is subject to the provisions of the Prison Litigation and Reform Act which provides that no relief shall be granted to a plaintiff until the defendant has filed a reply. 42 U.S.C. §1997e(q)(1); <u>Griffin v. Foti</u>, No. 03-CV-1274, 2003 WL 22836493 at \*1 (E.D. La. Nov. 24, 2003). Moreover, the Court's order of July 22, 2013 (rec. doc. 36) directed the defendants to file a response to plaintiff's motion for leave to supplement his complaint which they did on July 26, 2013. (Rec. doc. 37). The order <u>did</u> not direct the defendants to file an answer to plaintiff's supplemental complaint and, in any event, plaintiff's motion to supplement was denied on August 12, 2013. (Rec. doc. 38).
- 2: Alleged mail interference was not pled by plaintiff in his original or supplemental complaints. (Rec. docs. 1, 4, 12). In addition, plaintiff makes no showing that his position as a litigant was prejudiced or that his legal mail was censored. Walker v. Navarro County Jail, 4 F.3d 410, 413 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993).

: Other.

ALMA L. CHASEZ

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE