## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

CHADWICK DENNIS CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS NO. 14-2780

STATE OF LOUISIANA SECTION "S"(2)

## ORDER

The court, having considered the complaint, the record, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, and the petitioner's objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, hereby **OVERRULES** the petitioner's objection and approves the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and adopts it as its opinion in this matter.

The Report and Recommendation concludes that the petition raises challenges to state law, which are not appropriate claims for a federal habeas corpus petition. In his objection to the Report and Recommendation petitioner claims that he is raising Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment claims. The petition specifically states that it "challenges state law governming parole" on the grounds that: (1) "the legislature did not give nor intend to give sentencing power to the executive branch when it enacted R.S. 15:308"; (2) "the [Louisiana] Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal erred in denying petitioner's supervisory writ of review"; (3) "La. C. Cr. P. article 881.5 and 882 allow the correction at any time of an illegal sentence which exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by law"; and, "this petitioner's only remedy is to be represented by the judicial branch." The petition and supporting memorandum do not

mention the Eighth or Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, and do not raise claims thereunder. Therefore, such claims are not before this court.

Margam Viel Lemmour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE