Francis v. Goodwin et al Doc. 28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

KENNETH FRANCIS

CIVIL ACTION

**VERSUS** 

NO. 16-12067

JERRY GOODWIN, WARDEN

SECTION "R" (3)

## **ORDER AND REASONS**

The Court dismissed Kenneth Francis's petition for habeas corpus relief<sup>1</sup> and denied petitioner's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal.<sup>2</sup> The Court now denies a certificate of appealability.

Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings provides that "[t]he district court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant. Before entering the final order, the court may direct the parties to submit arguments on whether a certificate should issue." Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings, Rule 11(a). A court may issue a certificate of appealability only if the petitioner makes "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings, Rule 11(a) (noting that § 2253(c)(2) supplies the controlling standard). The "controlling

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. Doc. 20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R. Doc. 27.

standard" for a certificate of appealability requires the petitioner to show "that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented [are] 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed

further." Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003).

For the reasons articulated in the Court's order denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, Francis has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. The Court will not issue a certificate of appealability.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this <u>25th</u> day of July, 2017.

SARAH S. VANCE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE