UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

SCOTT BOATNER PIERCE VERSUS KAUFMAN COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE ET AL. CIVIL ACTION NO. 16-13830 SECTION "S"(2)

ORDER AND REASONS

Pro se plaintiff Scott Boatner Pierce is an inmate currently incarcerated in the Henderson County Jail in Athens, Texas. He filed the captioned lawsuit in forma pauperis¹ pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the defendants, the Kaufman County District Attorney's Office, the Kaufman County District Attorney, the Adult Probation Office, the Kaufman County Sheriff's Office and Kaufman County, Texas. Plaintiff alleges that he was wrongfully arrested and falsely imprisoned for a probation violation, and that the motion to revoke his probation was later withdrawn by the Kaufman County District Attorney. Record Doc. No. 1 (Complaint). He requests monetary compensation and injunctive relief. <u>Id</u>.

Because 42 U.S.C. § 1983 contains no specific venue provision, venue is determined under 28 U.S.C. § 1391, also known as the general venue statute. Jones v. <u>Bales</u>, 58 F.R.D. 453 (N.D. Ga. 1972), <u>aff'd</u>, 480 F.2d 805 (5th Cir. 1973). Section 1391(b) provides in pertinent part:

¹The court has instructed the Clerk of Court by separate order to file this complaint without prepayment of a filing fee. Ruling on the application for pauper status is deferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas.

A civil action may be brought in -- (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, . . . or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.

28 U.S.C. §§ 1406(a) and 1404(a) allow transfer of a case from one district to another district or division in which venue is proper, for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interest of justice. For example, a magistrate judge's transfer of a prisoner's case to the district in which his claims allegedly arose has been held proper by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. <u>Balawajder v. Scott</u>, 160 F.3d 1066, 1067 (5th Cir. 1999).

The events forming the factual basis of plaintiff's claims allegedly occurred in Kaufman County, Texas, which is located within the boundaries of the Dallas Division of the Northern District of Texas. 28 U.S.C. § 124(a)(1). No defendant is alleged to reside in or to be located within the Eastern District of Louisiana. Venue is not proper in the Eastern District of Louisiana. On the other hand, venue is proper in the Northern District of Texas, and the interests of justice dictate that this case be transferred, rather than being dismissed on grounds of improper venue. A magistrate judge is authorized to transfer to another district a complaint asserting claims based upon events occurring in that district. Balawajder, 160 F.3d at 1067.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the instant matter be **TRANSFERRED** to the

United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this <u>6th</u> day of September, 2016.

JOSEPH C. WILKINSON, JR. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE