Mellin et al v. Duda et al Doc. 113

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

NANCY MELLIN ET AL.

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS NO: 16-17737

ANTHONY DUDA ET AL.

SECTION "H"

ORDER

Before the Court are Defendant National Union Fire Insurance Company's Motion to Continue Trial (Doc. 112) and Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Experts (Doc. 80). Defendant seeks a continuance of trial to allow for "additional time to await the Court's ruling on [Plaintiff's] *Daubert* motion, to evaluate and pursue any appellate options, and to adjust discovery and trial strategies."

Accordingly;

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs' *Daubert* motion is **DENIED** with reasons to follow.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of the above ruling, Defendant's stated reasons for a continuance are moot, and the Motion to Continue is **DENIED**.¹

¹ Even so, this Court notes that it finds Defendant's reasons for the requested continuance less than compelling, especially because there is no option for immediate appeal of a ruling on a motion in limine. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

New Orleans, Louisiana this 18th day of March, 2019.

AVE TRICHE MILAZZO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE