Farrier v. Vannoy et al Doc. 24

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

ANTHONY FARRIER

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS

NO. 17-7955

DARREL VANNOY

SECTION "R" (1)

## **ORDER**

The Court has reviewed *de novo* the petition for *habeas corpus*,¹ the record, the applicable law, the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation,² and petitioner's objections.³ The Magistrate Judge correctly determined that petitioner failed to demonstrate that the state court decisions rejecting his ineffective assistance of counsel claim were contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established federal law.⁴ Petitioner's objections simply rehash arguments made before the Magistrate Judge and are without merit. Accordingly, the Court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation as its opinion herein.

Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings provides that "[t]he district court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant. Before entering the final order,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. Doc. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R. Doc. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R. Doc. 19; R. Doc. 20.

<sup>4</sup> R. Doc. 18 at 24.

the court may direct the parties to submit arguments on whether a certificate should issue." Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings, Rule 11(a). A court may issue a certificate of appealability only if the petitioner makes "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings, Rule 11(a) (noting that § 2253(c)(2) supplies the controlling standard). The "controlling standard" for a certificate of appealability requires the petitioner to show "that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented [are] 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003).

Petitioner has failed to meet this standard. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the petition for *habeas corpus* is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. The court will not issue a certificate of appealability.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this <u>19th</u> day of December, 2018.

SARAH S. VANCE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE