Beckendorf v. Fleischman et al

Doc. 55

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

FRANK WILLIAM BECKENDORF, III

CIVIL ACTION

**VERSUS** 

NO. 21-1357

DANIEL FLEISCHMAN, ET AL.

SECTION: "T"(5)

**ORDER AND REASONS** 

The Court previously dismissed the above-captioned action due to pro se Plaintiff Frank

William Beckendorf, III's failure to prosecute and entered judgment on all claims raised herein in

favor of Defendants. R. Docs. 51; 52. Plaintiff now moves for relief from that judgment pursuant

to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b), asserting his prior failure to prosecute was the result of

excusable neglect as an inexperienced pro se litigant. R Doc. 53. Plaintiff also asks the Court to

appoint him counsel under Section 706(f) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f).

The Court finds good cause to grant Plaintiff relief from the judgment so he may seek adjudication

of the merits of his claims. However, Section 706(f) authorizes courts only to appoint counsel to

represent plaintiffs who are pursuing claims of employment discrimination based on race, color,

religion, sex, or national origin. Plaintiff raises no such claims. Accordingly;

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from the Judgment, R. Doc. 53, is

**GRANTED** and this Court's orders dismissing Plaintiff's claims, R. Doc. 51, and entering

judgment for Defendants, R. Doc. 52, are hereby VACATED and this matter REOPENED for

1

further proceedings.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel, R. Doc 54, is **DENIED**.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2024.

GREG GERARD GUIDRY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE