UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

SYLVESTER TRACKLING (#117085)

VERSUS CIVIL ACTION

SHAUNRY WILLIAMS NUMBER 10-745-RET-DLD

NOTICE

Please take notice that the attached Magistrate Judge's Report has been filed with the Clerk of the U. S. District Court.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), you have 14 days after being served with the attached report to file written objections to the proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations set forth therein. Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendations within 14 days after being served will bar you, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the District Court.

ABSOLUTELY NO EXTENSION OF TIME SHALL BE GRANTED TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT.

Signed in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on November 1, 2010.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE POCIA L. DALBY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

SYLVESTER TRACKLING (#117085)

VERSUS CIVIL ACTION

SHAUNRY WILLIAMS

NUMBER 10-745-RET-DLD

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT

Pro se plaintiff, an inmate confined at Louisiana State Penitentiary, Angola, Louisiana, filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Sgt. Shaunry Williams. Plaintiff alleged that the defendant took his personal property in violation of his constitutional rights.

Subsection (c)(1) of 42 U.S.C. § 1997e provides as follows:

(c) Dismissal.--(1) The court shall on its own motion or on the motion of a party dismiss any action brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility if the court is satisfied that the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.

An in forma pauperis suit is properly dismissed as frivolous if the claim lacks an arguable basis either in fact or in law. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 112 S.Ct. 1728, 1733 (1992); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 1827, 1831-32 (1989); *Hicks v. Garner*, 69 F.3d 22, 24 (5th Cir. 1995). A court may dismiss a claim as factually frivolous only if the facts are clearly baseless, a category encompassing allegations that are fanciful, fantastic, and delusional. *Denton*, 504 U.S. at 33-34, 112 S.Ct. at 1733. Pleaded facts which are merely improbable or strange, however, are not frivolous for § 1915(d) purposes. *Id.*; *Ancar v. SARA Plasma, Inc.*, 964 F.2d 465, 468 (5th Cir. 1992). Dismissal under §

1915(d) may be made at any time before or after service of process and before or after an answer is filed. *Green v. McKaskle*, 788 F.2d 1116, 1119 (5th Cir. 1986).

Plaintiff alleged that during a routine search of his cell the defendant took 19 packets of BC Powder.

Plaintiff alleged that he has been deprived of his property without due process of law. Random and unauthorized deprivations of property by state officials do not violate the federal constitution if an adequate post-deprivation state remedy exists. *Parratt v. Taylor*, 451 U.S. 527, 101 S.Ct. 1908 (1981); *Hudson v. Palmer*, 468 U.S. 517, 104 S.Ct. 3194 (1984). Louisiana has ample remedies under which the plaintiff may proceed against the defendant for recovery of his property or for the reimbursement of its loss. *Marshall v. Norwood*, 741 F.2d 761 (5th Cir. 1984).

Because it is clear that the plaintiff's claims have no arguable basis in fact or in law the complaint should be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and without prejudice to any state law claim.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the magistrate judge that the plaintiff's complaint be dismissed as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and without prejudice to any state law claim.

Signed in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on November 1, 2010.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE POCIA L. DALBY