UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

CLIFTON AYCHE (#314753)

VERSUS CIVIL ACTION

TERRY GRADY, ET AL

NUMBER 10-748-BAJ-SCR

NOTICE

Please take notice that the attached Magistrate Judge's Report has been filed with the Clerk of the U. S. District Court.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), you have 14 days after being served with the attached report to file written objections to the proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations set forth therein. Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendations within 14 days after being served will bar you, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the District Court.

ABSOLUTELY NO EXTENSION OF TIME SHALL BE GRANTED TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, November 3, 2010.

STEPHEN C. RIEDLINGER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

CLIFTON AYCHE (#314753)

VERSUS CIVIL ACTION

TERRY GRADY, ET AL

NUMBER 10-748-BAJ-SCR

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT

Pro se plaintiff, an inmate confined at Dixon Correctional Institute, Jackson, Louisiana, filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Louisiana Department of Public Safety and Corrections Secretary James LeBlanc, DCI Warden Steve Rader and nurse Terry Grady. Plaintiff alleged that he was denied adequate medical treatment in violation of his constitutional rights.

Subsection (c)(1) of 42 U.S.C. § 1997e provides as follows:

(c) Dismissal.--(1) The court shall on its own motion or on the motion of a party dismiss any action brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility if the court is satisfied that the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.

An in forma pauperis suit is properly dismissed as frivolous if the claim lacks an arguable basis either in fact or in law. Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 112 S.Ct. 1728, 1733 (1992); Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 1827, 1831-32 (1989); Hicks v. Garner, 69 F.3d 22, 24 (5th Cir. 1995). A court may

dismiss a claim as factually frivolous only if the facts are clearly baseless, a category encompassing allegations that are fanciful, fantastic, and delusional. *Denton*, 504 U.S. at 33-34, 112 S.Ct. at 1733. Pleaded facts which are merely improbable or strange, however, are not frivolous for § 1915(d) purposes. *Id.*; *Ancar v. SARA Plasma*, *Inc.*, 964 F.2d 465, 468 (5th Cir. 1992). Dismissal under § 1915(d) may be made at any time before or after service of process and before or after an answer is filed. *Green v. McKaskle*, 788 F.2d 1116, 1119 (5th Cir. 1986).

Plaintiff alleged that on February 19, 2010, he was bitten on the hand by an insect, probably a spider. Plaintiff alleged that two days later, he declared himself a medical emergency due to pain and swelling in his hand. Plaintiff alleged that he was examined by Grady who ordered warm soaks twice per day but did not prescribe any antibiotic for the infection. Plaintiff alleged that on February 25, he was examined by another nurse who observed that the swelling had progressed up his right arm. Plaintiff alleged that on February 26, he was examined by Dr. Tarver who referred him to Earl K. Long Hospital for treatment. Plaintiff alleged that he was admitted to the hospital and underwent two surgical procedures to remove infection from his hand and arm. Plaintiff alleged that he remained hospitalized for five days.

To prevail on an Eighth Amendment claim for deprivation of medical care a prisoner must prove that the care was denied and

that the denial constituted "deliberate indifference to serious medical needs." Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 97 S.Ct. 285 (1976); Johnson v. Treen, 759 F.2d 1236 (5th Cir. 1985). Whether the plaintiff received the treatment he felt he should have is not the issue. Estelle v. Gamble, supra; Woodall v. Foti, 648 F.2d 268 (5th Cir. 1981). Unsuccessful medical treatment does not give rise to a Section 1983 cause of action. Varnado v. Lynaugh, 920 F.2d 320 (5th Cir. 1991); Johnson v. Treen, supra. Negligence, neglect or medical malpractice does not rise to the level of a constitutional violation. Varnado, supra.

Plaintiff's dissatisfaction with the medical treatment he received does not rise to the level of a constitutional violation.

Plaintiff named Secretary LeBlanc and Warden Rader as defendants but failed to allege any facts against them.

To be liable under § 1983, a person must either be personally involved the in acts causing the alleged deprivation constitutional rights, or there must be a causal connection between the act of that person and the constitutional violation sought to be redressed. Lozano v. Smith, 718 F.2d 756 (5th Cir. 1983). Plaintiff's allegation that Secretary LeBlanc and Warden Rader are responsible for the actions of their subordinates is insufficient to state a claim under § 1983. Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct. 2018 (1978).

Because it is clear that the plaintiff's claims have no

arguable basis in fact or in law the complaint should be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and without prejudice to any state law claim.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the magistrate judge that the plaintiff's complaint be dismissed as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. $\S1915(e)(2)(B)(i)$ and without prejudice to any state law claim.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, November 3, 2010.

STEPHEN C. RIEDLINGER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE