

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

EDITH GYAN PARNELL

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS

NO. 11-591-JJB-DLD

MALL OF LOUISIANA, LLC, ET AL

ORDER

The court *sua sponte* notes the potential insufficiency of the defendants' allegation of the citizenship of the parties as follows;

1. ___ A party invoking diversity jurisdiction must allege the *citizenship* rather than mere residence of an individual. In addition, see [28 U.S.C. §1332\(c\)\(2\)](#) for infants, minors and an incompetent. The *citizenship* of ___ is not provided.

2. ___ A party invoking diversity jurisdiction must allege both the state of incorporation and principal place of business of each corporate party. See, e.g., [Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co. v. Pargas, Inc., 706 F.2d 633 \(5th Cir. 1983\)](#). The state of incorporation and principal place of business of _____ is not provided.¹

3. ___ A party invoking diversity jurisdiction must allege both the state of incorporation and principal place of business of each corporate party. See, e.g., [Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co. v. Pargas, Inc., 706 F.2d 633 \(5th Cir. 1983\)](#). **Even when a liability insurer takes on its insured's citizenship under [28 U.S.C. § 1332\(c\)\(1\)](#), its own citizenship still is considered in determining whether complete diversity exists.** The state of incorporation and principal place of business of _____ is not provided.²

4. X A party invoking diversity jurisdiction must properly allege the citizenship of a limited liability company. The citizenship of a limited liability company for diversity purposes is determined by the citizenship of **its members**. The

¹The phrase "principal place of business" in §1332(c)(1) refers to the place where a corporation's high level officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's activities, *i.e.*, its "nerve center," which will typically be found at its corporate headquarters. [The Hertz Corporation v. Melinda Friend, et al., 559 U.S. _____ \(2010\)](#)

²See footnote 1.

citizenship of **all of the members** of a limited liability company must be properly alleged. In the event a member of a limited liability company is another limited liability company, the members of that limited liability company must be properly alleged as well. See [Harvey v. Grey Wolf Drilling Co., 542 F.3d 1077, 1080 \(5th Cir. 2008\)](#). The complete citizenship of **Mall of Louisiana, LLC and Xencom Facility Management, LLC** is not provided. Although the defendants state that all members of the above-named defendants are foreign residents and/or entities, the defendants do not provide the state of citizenship for the individuals or state of incorporation and principle place of business for the corporations.

5. X A party invoking diversity jurisdiction must properly allege the citizenship of a general partnership and a limited liability partnership. For a general partnership, case law suggests that a partnership has the citizenship of each one of its partners. See [International Paper Co. v. Denkmann Assoc., 116 F.3d 134, 137 \(5th Cir. 1997\)](#). A limited liability partnership or L.L.P. also has the citizenship of each one of its partners, both general and limited. See [Carden v. Arkoma Associates, 494 U.S. 185, 110 S.Ct. 1014, 108 L.Ed.2d 157 \(1990\)](#). The complete citizenship of **GGP-Mall Of Louisiana, LP** is not provided. Although the defendants state that all members of the above-named defendant are foreign residents and/or entities, the defendants do not provide the state of citizenship for the individuals or state of incorporation and principle place of business for the corporations.
6. A party invoking diversity jurisdiction must properly allege the citizenship of Underwriters at Lloyd's, London. The citizenship of Underwriters at Lloyd's, London has not been provided. See [Corfield v. Dallas Glen Hills LP, 355 F.3d 853 \(5th Cir. 2003\), cert. denied, 541 U.S. 1073, 124 S.Ct. 2421, 158 L.Ed.2d 983 \(2004\)](#).
7. A party invoking diversity jurisdiction must properly allege the citizenship of a sole proprietorship. A business entity cannot be both a corporation and sole proprietorship; therefore the court seeks to clarify the identity of plaintiff/defendant. Case law suggests that the citizenship of a sole proprietorship for diversity purposes is determined by the citizenship of its members and/or owners. See [Linder Enterprises v. Martinringle, 2007 WL 3095382 \(N.D. Tex.\)](#). The citizenship of _____ is not provided.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §1653](#), that, on or before **November 1, 2011**, the defendants shall file an amended notice of removal providing the citizenship of defendants, **Mall of Louisiana, LLC, GGP-Mall of Louisiana, LP and Xencom**

Facility Management, LLC, by setting forth all citizenship particulars required to sustain federal diversity jurisdiction.

Signed in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on October 18, 2011.



MAGISTRATE JUDGE DOCIA L. DALBY