Merritt v. Veyaze et al Doc. 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

JUSTIN H. MERRITT (#3452)

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS

DR. SYNK VEYAZE, ET AL.

NO.: 13-00214-BAJ-SCR

RULING AND ORDER

On October 2, 2013, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a Report and

Recommendation, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), recommending that Plaintiff

Justin Merritt's action be dismissed as legally frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1915(e)(2)(B)(i). (Doc. 6.)

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation specifically notified

Plaintiff that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), he had fourteen (14) days from the

date he received the Report and Recommendation to file written objections to the

proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations therein. (Doc. 6, at

1.) A review of the record indicates that Plaintiff did not file a memorandum in

opposition to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

Having carefully considered the Magistrate Judge's Report, the record, and the

applicable law, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report is correct, and

hereby adopts its findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Doc. 6) is ADOPTED as the Court's opinion herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above captioned matter is DISMISSED, without leave to amend, because there is no conceivable, non-frivolous federal claim Plaintiff Justin Merritt could assert against these defendants consistent with the facts alleged in his complaint.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, November 14, 2013.

BRIAN A. JACKSON, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA