Johnson v. LeBlanc et al Doc. 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

BARON JOHNSON (#316875)

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS

JAMES M. LEBLANC, ET AL.

NO.: 13-00454-BAJ-RLB

RULING AND ORDER

On May 19, 2014, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a Report and

Recommendation, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), recommending that Plaintiff

Baron Johnson's complaint be dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's directive

and correct the deficiency of which he was notified. (Doc. 11.) On July 1, 2013, Plaintiff

filed a complaint alleging violations of his constitutional rights by various Defendants,

including the state of Louisiana, the Governor, the Secretary, and several prison

officials. (Doc. 1.) Subsequently, on July 24, 2013, the clerk of court notified Plaintiff

that his complaint contained filing deficiencies and that such deficiencies must be

corrected within twenty-one (21) days. (Doc. 6.) Thereafter, in September 2013, the

Court re-mailed correspondence to Plaintiff at his new address after learning that he

had been transferred to a new prison facility. (Doc. 11, at 2-3.) Nevertheless, Plaintiff

failed to correct the deficiencies. Instead, he mailed a handwritten document to the

Court, which sought to "add claims relative to events allegedly occurring at his new

place of confinement." (Id. at 3.)

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation specifically notified Plaintiff that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), he had fourteen (14) days from the date he received the Report and Recommendation to file written objections to the proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations therein. (Doc. 11, at 1.) A review of the record indicates that Plaintiff had not filed an objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation by the applicable filing deadline.

Having carefully considered the Magistrate Judge's Report, the record, and the applicable law, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report is correct, and hereby adopts its findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Doc. 11) is ADOPTED as the Court's opinion herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above captioned matter is DISMISSED, without prejudice, for Plaintiff Baron Johnson's failure to comply with the Court's directive and correct the deficiency of which he was notified.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this _____day of July, 2014.

BRIAN A. JACKSON, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA