Sanderson v. Williams et al Doc. 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

RICHARD SANDERSON

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS

PROGRESSIVE INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL.

NO.: 3:13-cv-00739-BAJ-RLB

RULING AND ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Remand. (Doc. 3). The Magistrate Judge has issued a REPORT (Doc. 7) recommending that Plaintiff's Motion be denied, (id. at p. 7). There is no objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report.

Having independently considered Plaintiff's Motion to Remand and related filings, the Court APPROVES the Magistrate Judge's REPORT (Doc. 7), and ADOPTS it as the Court's opinion herein.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's MOTION TO REMAND (Doc. 3) is DENIED for the reasons explained in the Magistrate Judge's Report (Doc. 7).

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this Lad day of July, 2014.

BRIAN A. JACKSON, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA